

# Exploring the value of GCSE prediction matrices based upon attainment at Key Stage 2

Tom Benton and Tom Sutch

Cambridge Assessment Research Report

20<sup>th</sup> May 2013

Author contact details: Tom Benton ARD Research Division Cambridge Assessment 1 Regent Street Cambridge CB2 1GG Benton.t@cambridgeassessment.org.uk

http://www.cambridgeassessment.org.uk/

Cambridge Assessment is the brand name used by the University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES). UCLES is a non-teaching department of the University of Cambridge and has various subsidiaries. The University of Cambridge is an exempt charity.

#### How to cite this publication:

Benton, T. and Sutch, T. (2013). *Exploring the value of GCSE prediction matrices based upon attainment at Key Stage 2*. Cambridge Assessment Research Report. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Assessment.

### **Table of Contents**

Introduction	4
Data	4
Correlation between Key Stage 2 attainment and GCSE	6
Standard errors of putative grade distributions based upon KS2	8
Alternative formulations for the margin of error of KS2 based putative distributions?	11
Is there any evidence of setting grade boundaries using KS2 results affecting the predictive	
validity of GCSEs?	15
What causes standards set using KS2 results to lead to large inter-board differences?	17
Is there any value in taking account of gender as well as key stage 2 attainment?	23
Summary	24
Appendix 1 - Correlations between GCSE grade and other attainment measures by subject	25

## Introduction

Prediction matrices are a key part of Ofqual's *Comparable Outcomes* approach to standard setting (<u>http://www.ofqual.gov.uk/files/2012-05-09-maintaining-standards-in-summer-2012.pdf</u>). The methodology consists of attempting to predict the likely grade distribution of a set of candidates given data about their prior attainment. This predicted (or *putative*) grade distribution is then used as one of the key pieces of information in deciding upon where grade boundaries should be set. If it is found (after setting grade boundaries) that the proposed grade distribution for candidates differs too far from the expected distribution given their prior attainment then grade boundaries may need to be adjusted accordingly.

The aim of this report is to provide further evidence regarding the effectiveness or otherwise of prediction matrices based on prior attainment at key stage 2 (KS2) for setting standards at GCSE. There has been some concern over using key stage 2 results as the basis to set standards for a number of reasons. In particular it has been suggested that due to the time gap between KS2 and GCSE, KS2 results are not a strong enough predictor of likely GCSE attainment. Furthermore, KS2 only covers three subjects (English, Maths and Science) and may not be a reliable predictor of performance in other subjects.

The specific aims of the research are:

- To evaluate the correlation between KS2 and GCSE results for all full GCSE subjects.
- To explore whether there are any obvious signs of KS2-based putative grade distributions being inappropriate in the presence of low correlation between KS2 and GCSE.
- To explore the standard errors of putative grade distributions as well as other evidence relating to the likely margin of error associated with the method.
- To investigate whether using additional information in addition to KS2 scores (specifically gender) improves the likely accuracy of the method.

#### Data

All of the data used for analysis comes from the National Pupil Database (NPD). For different analyses GCSE outcomes in years between 2008 and 2011 are considered. Analysis is restricted to the achievement of full GCSE qualifications by year 11 candidates. Any GCSEs achieved by this group of pupils prior to year 11 are excluded from analysis. Analysis is further restricted to candidates with a known final grade, that is, candidates under suspicion of malpractice or where their final GCSE grade is not provided for any other reason are also excluded from analysis. Data from all awarding bodies is included in the analysis.

For each GCSE taken by each candidate the following variables are defined (where available) for the purposes of analysis:

- Concurrent attainment measured by Average GCSE grade in subjects other than the one under investigation. For the purposes of calculating this variable, GCSE grades were converted into a numerical scale between 0 and 8 (with 8 being equivalent to A\*). Only full GCSEs were included in the calculation of this measure. This measure was only calculated for candidates taking at least 4 full GCSEs<sup>1</sup>.
- Prior attainment measured by **Average KS2 level** across each of English, Maths and Science. Sublevels were not used in the calculation of this measure. Key stage 2 levels below 2 were not included in this measure as these are not valid levels. Average KS2 levels were only calculated for candidates with valid levels across all three KS2 subjects.
- Prior attainment measured by **Average KS3 level**. Calculated as for KS2 but with levels ranging from 2 to 8. KS3 data was not available for any students taking GCSEs after 2010.
- Future attainment as measured by **AS level grade** in the same subject. This was only available for pupils who had continued to higher level study in the same subject and was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That is, it is the average grade across at least 3 full GCSEs in addition to the GCSE with which it is concurrent.

not available for any pupils completing GCSEs in 2010 and 2011 as this data had not yet been (fully) released.

- Gender.
- **Centre ID**. This was valuable for identifying common centres; that is, centres that entered at least one year 11 candidate for a given GCSE subject in two successive years.

### **Correlation between Key Stage 2 attainment and GCSE**

Analysis initially focussed on calculating the correlation between KS2 scores (as defined above) and achievement in each GCSE subject. These correlations were calculated for GCSEs taken in each of 2009, 2010 and 2011 in order to assess the stability of these estimates. Using the same groups of candidates (that is, those with matching KS2 data) correlations were also calculated with average concurrent GCSE attainment and average KS3 level (where available) for the purposes of comparison. A total of 77 GCSE subjects were identified for analysis. Full details of the correlations for each GCSE subject can be found in appendix 1. A summary of the results is presented in table 1 below. The stability of these results between 2010 and 2011 for individual subjects is shown in a scatterplot within appendix 1.

attainment	
	Correlations with KS2, KS3 and mean concurrent GCSE

Table 4. Communication for completions of CCCE and do with concurrent and arise

	Co	rrelation	s with K (exc)	S2, KS3 a luding gi	and mea ven sub	n concu ject)	rrent GC	SE
		2009			2010	20	)11	
	KS2	Mean GCSE	KS3	KS2	Mean GCSE	KS3	KS2	Mean GCSE
Number of GCSE subjects with data	73	73	73	76	76	76	74	74
Mean	0.48	0.70	0.62	0.47	0.69	0.61	0.48	0.70
Median	0.51	0.74	0.64	0.49	0.74	0.64	0.52	0.75
Мах	0.76	0.86	0.88	0.76	0.86	0.88	0.76	0.87
Min	0.06	0.21	0.17	0.05	0.18	0.14	0.16	0.28
Standard deviation	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.14
Percentage below 0.3	12.3%	1.4%	6.8%	13.2%	1.3%	5.3%	12.2%	1.4%
Percentage 0.3-0.4	8.2%	5.5%	2.7%	9.2%	5.3%	2.6%	9.5%	5.4%
Percentage 0.4-0.5	20.5%	4.1%	6.8%	31.6%	2.6%	11.8%	20.3%	5.4%
Percentage 0.5-0.6	39.7%	6.8%	17.8%	30.3%	10.5%	17.1%	39.2%	5.4%
Percentage 0.6-0.7	16.4%	17.8%	32.9%	13.2%	19.7%	35.5%	16.2%	20.3%
Percentage 0.7-0.8	2.7%	38.4%	26.0%	2.6%	39.5%	19.7%	2.7%	33.8%
Percentage 0.8-0.9	0.0%	26.0%	6.8%	0.0%	21.1%	7.9%	0.0%	28.4%
Percentage above 0.9	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

For each year 2009-2011 it is clear that the correlation between KS2 attainment and GCSE grades is lower than correlations with either KS3 (2009 and 2010 only) or mean GCSE. The average (either mean or median) correlation for KS2 is around 0.5 compared to values above 0.6 for KS3 and 0.7 for mean GCSE. More than 1 in 10 GCSE subjects have a correlation with KS2 that is below 0.3.

The fairly gloomy picture presented above is reduced somewhat when we restrict analysis to the 58 subjects with at least 500 candidates with matching KS2 information in each year. The results of analysis after subjects with small entries are removed are shown in table 2. As can be seen, once these are removed there are no subjects where the correlation with KS2 is below 0.3. Furthermore the average correlation now rises above 0.5. However, it should be noted that the average correlations with KS3 and mean GCSE also rise when restricted to these subjects so that KS2 remains a comparatively weak predictor.

Table 2: Summary statistics for correlations of GCSE grades with concurrent and prior attainment once subjects with less than 500 candidates with matching KS2 information are removed.

	Co	rrelation	s with K (exc	S2, KS3 luding gi	and mea iven sub	n concu ject)	rrent GC	SE
		2009			2010	20	2011	
	KS2	Mean GCSE	KS3	KS2	Mean GCSE	KS3	KS2	Mean GCSE
Number of GCSE subjects with data	57	57	57	58	58	58	56	56
Mean	0.53	0.74	0.66	0.52	0.74	0.66	0.53	0.75
Median	0.53	0.75	0.66	0.50	0.76	0.66	0.53	0.77
Мах	0.76	0.86	0.88	0.76	0.86	0.88	0.76	0.87
Min	0.32	0.55	0.46	0.34	0.55	0.45	0.34	0.53
Standard deviation	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.08
Percentage below 0.3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Percentage 0.3-0.4	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Percentage 0.4-0.5	22.8%	0.0%	5.3%	37.9%	0.0%	6.9%	21.4%	0.0%
Percentage 0.5-0.6	47.4%	7.0%	15.8%	34.5%	6.9%	19.0%	48.2%	3.6%
Percentage 0.6-0.7	17.5%	19.3%	42.1%	15.5%	20.7%	39.7%	19.6%	23.2%
Percentage 0.7-0.8	3.5%	43.9%	28.1%	3.4%	46.6%	24.1%	3.6%	37.5%
Percentage 0.8-0.9	0.0%	29.8%	8.8%	0.0%	25.9%	10.3%	0.0%	35.7%
Percentage above 0.9	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Overall, although it can be seen that KS2 has a significantly lower correlation with GCSE grades than either concurrent attainment or KS3 (when it was available), a reasonably high correlation can be seen for the majority of subjects. Achievement in more than half of subjects has a correlation of above 0.5 with KS2 and only for a small minority (around 9 per cent) is the correlation below 0.4. Equally it should be noted that very high correlations with achievement are also absent. Whilst a number of GCSE subjects have a correlation of more than 0.8 with both KS3 and mean GCSE, no such high correlations are found for KS2. Furthermore, very few subjects display a correlation above 0.7 with KS2.

On the basis of this evidence we may conclude that KS2 is neither a terrible nor an excellent predictor of likely success at GCSE. Correlations for the vast majority of subjects are between 0.4 and 0.7 indicating a strong relationship but hardly a definite means of predicting attainment at either individual or aggregate level. This would indicate that whilst the use of KS2 data may have some value in standard setting it remains a far from ideal solution.

### Standard errors of putative grade distributions based upon KS2

This next section is concerned with estimating the standard errors of putative grade distributions derived from KS2. The method used to achieve this is that of balanced repeated replication (BRR) and closely follows the methodology applied by Benton and Lin (2011)<sup>2</sup>. This method estimates how much we might expect the predicted grade distribution to differ from the actual grade distribution given the sample sizes involved<sup>3</sup>, if the assumptions underlying the technique are correct<sup>4</sup>.

In calculating the standard errors it is important to remember that these come from two sources:

- Model standard errors. These represent the uncertainty in predictions arising from the fact that the data analysed in one year only provides an estimate of the percentage we expect to achieve each grade given prior attainment. In other words, each cell of the prediction matrix has a standard error associated with it due to the fact it is based upon a finite sample of students. This source of error relates to uncertainty within the prediction matrices themselves and will be largely dependent upon the amount of data used to construct them.
- Innate standard errors. Even if the expected percentage to achieve a given grade within each level of prior attainment is known precisely there is still uncertainty surrounding the numbers that will actually achieve this grade<sup>5</sup>. These standard errors will be largely dependent upon the number of students to whom each predicted grade distribution is applied.

The overall standard error of the putative grade distribution is found by combining these two elements.

This procedure was applied to find the standard errors for the KS2-based predicted grade distributions for all GCSE subjects taken in 2011. The data was restricted to those pupils with valid KS2 scores. In common with current practice in live awarding, candidates in either independent or selective schools were removed from analysis. The prediction matrices under consideration were constructed using data from candidates completing GCSEs in 2010. Estimates of innate standard errors were developed by applying balanced repeated replication to the achievement of candidates in 2011.

Once overall standard errors had been calculated it was possible to convert these into recommended tolerances for the method. This was done on the basis that tolerances would be based on 75% confidence intervals and thus could be generated by multiplying the estimated standard errors by 1.15. Table 3 shows the average recommended tolerance dependent upon the number of candidates entering any given subject with a particular awarding body.

Table 3 clearly shows that as the number of candidates entering a subject increases, the standard error (and hence the recommended tolerance) of the prediction matrices method falls. It can also be seen that the standard errors tend to be larger at grades C and A than at grade F. This is likely to be connected to the fact that the percentage of students expected to achieve

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See appendix 5 of Benton, T. and Lin, Y. (2011) Investigating the relationship between A level results and prior attainment at GCSE. Ofqual: Coventry.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> And imagining that KS2 predictions were not used to fix the subsequent actual distribution of grades.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Namely that the relationship between key stage 2 attainment and grade achieved in any GCSE subject does not change between the year from which data is used to construct prediction matrices and the year in which they are applied.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> To illustrate the difference between an expected percentage and an actual percentage consider the example of tossing a fair coin. We know that the expected percentage of times that we will get heads is 50 per cent. However, random chance also plays its part and the actual percentage of times that we get heads may be somewhat different to 50 per cent (particularly for a small number of coin tosses).

grade F or above in any subject is generally quite close to 100% and so is less vulnerable to random variation.

Number of entrants	Number of board/subject occurrences	Recommended tolerance (grade F)	Recommended tolerance (grade C)	Recommended tolerance (grade A)
100-499 <sup>6</sup>	22	1.87	6.35	6.56
500-999	22	1.40	4.32	3.41
1000-1999	22	1.29	3.46	2.51
2000-4999	23	0.87	2.59	1.95
5000-9999	24	0.65	1.79	1.31
10000+	64	0.22	0.86	0.77

Table 3: Recommended tolerances by number of entrants

It should be noted that the table above only provides a partial picture of the factors that may influence the precision of prediction matrices. The accuracy of prediction matrices will depend not only upon the number of pupils to which it is being applied but also the number of pupils used to construct the prediction matrices, the prediction itself (as predictions close to 50 per cent will naturally be subject to more random error than those close to either 0 or 100 per cent) and potentially also the correlation between KS2 and GCSE grade.

In order to explore this an analysis similar to that in appendix 5 of Benton and Lin (2011) was repeated. At each grade two variables were created:



	Putative _ Percent	(1 - 1)	Putative _ I	Percent \
$V_{2} - 1$	100		100	)
v 2 - V	Λ	lappl	у	

Where *Nconstruct* is the number of pupils used to construct the prediction matrix in the first place and *Napply* is the number of pupils for whom predicted grades are being generated. Thus, V1 is related to the model standard errors and V2 is related to the innate standard errors. Both V1 and V2 have numerators that account for the fact that percentages close to 50 per cent are inherently less stable than those near 0 or 100 per cent.

Having constructed these variables, linear regression was used to explore the relationship between each of these and the standard errors of the predicted cumulative percentages at each of grades F, C and A. Because the BRR method may provide estimates of standard error that are a little unreliable for small entries, any instances of boards with an entry of less than 500 candidates were not included in this analysis. The results are shown in table 4.

The coefficients in table 4 provide a rule of thumb for estimating the standard error of a putative grade distribution at each of grades F, C and A. For example, we now estimate that at grade F the standard error would be equal to 0.05+80\*V1+162\*V2. From the fit statistics we can see that there is a correlation of a least 0.89 between the results generated by this rule of thumb and the standard errors calculated via BRR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Inspection of the data suggests that the method of BRR may not have adequately captured the true standard errors of predictions for these small entry subjects. For this reason these figures should be treated with caution.

		Grade F		Grade C		Grade A
Independent variables	Coefficient	Standard Error	Coefficient	Standard Error	Coefficient	Standard Error
Intercept	0.05	0.03	0.22	0.08	0.21	0.05
V1	79.95	16.86	47.20	16.97	46.52	12.27
V2	161.76	12.10	197.79	10.88	175.03	8.12
Fit statistics						
R square		0.80		0.81		0.88
Correlation between predicted and original SEs		0.89		0.90		0.94

Table 4: Regression of standard errors of predictive variables at each of grades F, C and A

Further examining these results we can see that the number of pupils to whom the prediction matrix is being applied (as contained within V2) is a more influential factor on the likely standard error than the number of pupils used to construct the prediction matrix. Nonetheless, it can be seen that both of these factors are important in determining the likely standard error and therefore margins of error should not be based purely on the numbers of students to whom the matrix is being applied.

As a final piece of analysis, the correlations calculated in the previous section were added in to the models displayed in table 4 to ascertain whether there was a link with the margin of error of prediction matrices. Correlation between KS2 achievement and the grade achieved in a GCSE subject was not found to be a significant predictor of standard error. This may appear a surprising result. However, it is worth noting that standard errors are only concerned with the stability of a given estimate (that is, whether it would vary between samples). Provided we have a large sample, prediction matrices should provide stable estimates of grade distributions even if KS2 does not provide much in the way of useful information about future likely achievement. Furthermore, as shown in the previous section, the correlations between KS2 and GCSE grades are all within a fairly narrow range (largely between 0.5 and 0.7). There are no subjects where the kind of very large correlations occur that would allow the method to have very low standard error even in the presence of small sample sizes<sup>7</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Theoretically there should be a link between correlation and standard error in that if the correlation between KS2 and GCSE grade was equal to 1 then the standard error would be zero. In such an instance, regardless of which pupils are included in a sample, provided the distribution of prior attainment remained constant (which it does within the BRR method), the same predicted grade distribution would be generated. However, no subjects have a correlation with KS2 grades anywhere near 1 and so we are not able to detect such an effect within our data.

# Alternative formulations for the margin of error of KS2 based putative distributions?

Using the calculations in the previous section as a basis for estimating the real margin of error of prediction matrices is predicated on the belief that the foundational assumptions of the method are correct. Another way to examine the margin of error in the prediction matrices methodology is to compare the results from this method to the results that would be gained if we were able to use a far more powerful variable in setting grade thresholds – namely, concurrent GCSE attainment. We have already seen that the average correlation between concurrent GCSE attainment and the grade achieved in any individual GCSE subject is much higher (at around 0.7) than the average correlation with KS2 (at around 0.5). For this reason it is reasonable to assume that if this information were available at the time of standard setting (which, of course, it cannot be) we would certainly prefer the use of this information to the use of KS2. Indeed predicted distributions based upon concurrent attainment are one of the key ways in which interboard differences are ultimately evaluated post awarding. Thus we can evaluate the accuracy of KS2-based putative grades by comparing the predicted distributions produced by this method to those predicted by a similar method based upon concurrent GCSE.

Initially this was done as follows:

- Restrict the data to candidates with both concurrent GCSE and KS2 data available and exclude candidates in independent or selective schools.
- Use historical data from 2010 to estimate the predicted distribution of grades in 2011 in terms of the cumulative percentage of candidates to achieve F or above, C or above and A or above. This can be done using the relationship between GCSE grades in individual subjects and either prior attainment at KS2 or concurrent attainment in other GCSEs.
- Compare the two predicted distributions and evaluate the average size of absolute differences in putative grades and how this varies dependent upon sample sizes and correlation with KS2 as evaluated earlier.

For the purposes of the above analysis, concurrent attainment at GCSE was broken into 11 categories by rounding mean GCSE grade to the nearest 0.5 and combining all candidates with a mean GCSE score of 3 or less into a single category. This method was preferred to the method of splitting GCSE scores into deciles as it was found that it gave results that were a little closer (and in some instances substantially closer) to the actual grade distributions of the awarded grades in 2011. For simplicity, analysis was also done combining data across all awarding bodies rather than examining each one separately. The results are shown in figures 1 and 2.

Figure 1 shows the association between the log (base 10)<sup>8</sup> of the number of candidates entering a subject and the absolute difference in the predicted grade distributions generated by KS2 and concurrent GCSE attainment. In general it can be seen that as the sample size increases the difference between the two techniques decreases. Subjects with at least 1000 entries (3 on the x axis) tend to provide estimates within 2 percentage points of each other. Subjects with a least 10,000 entries (4 on the x axis) tend to provide estimates that are within 1 percentage point of one another. These results are broadly in line with the recommended tolerances presented in table 3.

However, this comforting picture is somewhat disturbed by the presence of a few highly noticeable outliers within the chart. The largest difference between predicted grade distributions is found at grade C for Bengali<sup>9</sup>, although this is a very small entry subject with only 507 candidates included in analysis. Of more concern is apparent difference between distributions for the three single sciences; apparent as the outliers towards the right hand side of figure 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This means that a value of 3 on the x axis indicates 1000 pupils entering a subject, 4 indicates 10,000 entering a subject and 5 indicates an entry of 1000000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The second largest difference is at grade A for Bengali.



Figure 1: Association between number of entrants to particular subjects and the differences between putative grade distributions generated from KS2 and concurrent GCSE results

Figure 2 illustrates the association between the difference between putative grade distributions and the correlation between KS2 and subject grades. A slight relationship is apparent between the KS2 correlation and the method providing very different results to a method based upon concurrent GCSE results; however, on inspection this could be because the log of the number of candidates and the KS2 correlation are themselves correlated.

Fitting a linear regression of each of the differences at A, C and F on the log of number of candidates and KS2 correlation showed that, once the size of entry had been controlled for, the effect of the KS2 correlation was not significant. This indicates that whatever problems there may be at the heart of the use of KS2 to set GCSE standards, low correlation cannot be definitively identified as the source.



Figure 2: The association between difference between putative grade distributions and the correlation between KS2 and subject grades

The analysis in figure 1 and figure 2 considers the differences between predicted grade distributions across all boards. Figure 3 examines the differences between predicted grade distributions based upon KS2 and mean concurrent attainment within each individual board for each GCSE subject. Two things can be noted from this data. Firstly, whilst there is a general tendency for the differences between the methods to reduce as the sample size increases, the differences remain a little larger than might be expected from the tolerances displayed in table 3. Specifically we can see that for subjects with entries of more than 10,000 candidates the difference between the predicted grade distribution from KS2 is regularly more than 2 percentage points away from the grade distribution predicted by concurrent attainment. This indicates that whereas the assumptions of prediction matrices may hold relatively well when applied across boards (as shown by figure 1), they may apply less well when applied within individual boards. This suggests that current tolerances for the putative grade distribution are set too low; particularly for subjects with large numbers of entries.

The second thing that can be noted from this data is that whereas in figure 1 only a few outliers could be seen, a greater number of apparent outliers are evident when we look within individual boards. This implies that, whilst prediction matrices based on KS2 will generally provide reasonable results, they do not provide an infallible source of information. This will be explored further in a later section.





# Is there any evidence of setting grade boundaries using KS2 results affecting the predictive validity of GCSEs?

A possible concern with using KS2 grades to set GCSE standards is that, if this method led to incorrect standards being set, a given level of achievement at GCSE would no longer have the same meaning in terms of future predicted attainment at AS level (or beyond). This would have serious implications for the validity of GCSE results as it would mean that decisions about the likelihood of candidates being able to cope with the demands of higher level qualifications would be wrongly assessed and decisions about candidates' suitability for further study may be incorrect.

In order to assess any issues with predictive validity the following method was used:

- Restrict the data to candidates with KS2 data available<sup>10</sup> that also went on to study a subject that they took at GCSE at AS level.
- Using historical data from 2008 GCSE achievement (and subsequent AS level achievement in 2009 or 2010) to estimate the predicted distribution of grades in 2009 in terms of the cumulative percentage of candidates to achieve F or above, C or above and A or above. This can be done using the relationship between GCSE grades in individual subjects and either prior attainment at KS2 or future grade in the same subject at AS level.
- Compare the two predicted distributions and evaluate the average size of absolute differences in putative grades and how this varies dependent upon sample sizes and correlation with KS2 as evaluated earlier.

Note that the predictive validity of GCSEs (that is, predicting forwards in time to AS level) can be evaluated by the relationship going in the other direction (that is, going back in time from AS level to GCSE). For example, if GCSEs were to become easier and the same level of GCSE attainment became associated with lower attainment at AS level, we would notice this in the reversed relationship as the same AS level grades would become associated with higher average GCSE grades.

Note that the sample sizes available for this analysis are much smaller than for any of the analyses described previously; only a minority of pupils will go on to study the same subject at AS level. Furthermore, since only the highest performing pupils will pursue further study in the same subject at AS level, almost all candidates within the data used for this analysis achieved at level C or above at GCSE. For this reason analysis is restricted to differences in the predicted percentage to achieve A or A\* at GCSE on the basis of either prior attainment at KS2 or future attainment at AS level. This means that only 30 subjects are included within this analysis. Results are shown in figures 4 and 5.

Given the small number of subjects available for analysis, firm conclusions are tricky. Nonetheless, in both cases there does appear to be a slight association. Figure 4 shows that as the sample available for such analysis increases the differences between the different putative distributions decrease. Furthermore, the size of these differences is broadly in line with the recommended tolerances estimated earlier in table 3.

Figure 5 also shows a hint of a relationship where those subjects with a larger correlation with KS2 display smaller differences between putative grades based on AS results. There is a single large outlier towards the right hand side of the graph<sup>11</sup>. This relates to General Studies – a somewhat unusual AS level subject. Without this subject the relationship would be revealed even more clearly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Note that, for the version of the NPD used in this analysis, pupils from independent schools were not available. Data from pupils within maintained selective schools was available and was included within analysis in order to allow the greatest possible amount of data to be included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Correlation with KS2 of 0.68 in 2009, difference in grade distributions of 2.4 percentage points.



Figure 4: Association between sample size and the differences between putative grade distributions generated from KS2 and AS results

Figure 5: The association between KS2-GCSE correlation and the differences between putative grade distributions generated from KS2 and AS results



Having said the above, neither of the relationships visible in figures 4 and 5 are statistically significant. The number of subjects studied is too small to be certain that the pattern revealed above is not simply the result of random fluctuation. Nonetheless, the results in this section may provide some interesting avenues for thought and further study.

### What causes standards set using KS2 results to lead to large interboard differences?

In practice it has been noticed that the introduction of increased usage of prediction matrices in standard setting has not led to major improvements in inter board comparability when this is later assessed using concurrent attainment.

In order to fully study this issue it is important that data is not simply restricted to those candidates with matching KS2 information. Standards set using putative grades from matched data are subsequently applied to the entire GCSE cohort regardless of whether they have matching KS2 data; that is, the same grade boundaries are used for both sets of pupils. For this reason a slightly different approach to previous sections was adopted to allow us to identify subjects where the use of prediction matrices would lead to very different results to those that might be suggested by the use of a more appropriate data source (if it were available); namely, concurrent GCSE attainment. The procedure for analysis was as follows:

- Restrict data to candidates with matching concurrent GCSE attainment; that is, candidates that have taken at least 3 other GCSEs beyond the GCSE subject being studied.
- Restrict 2011 data to OCR candidates and match in data about the UMS score of candidates.
- Restrict analysis to the 52 GCSE subjects with at least 500 year 11 candidates taking the subject with OCR in 2011.
- Generate putative grade distributions for 2011 OCR candidates using historical data from 2010<sup>12</sup> and based on four different possible data sources:
  - Mean concurrent GCSE. As with the analysis in an earlier section this was split into 11 categories prior to analysis.
  - Key stage 2. Note that since not all pupils have matched KS2 data<sup>13</sup> this is a two stage procedure. First, the putative percentage is calculated for matched candidates in non-selective and non-independent schools. Next, grade boundaries on the UMS scale<sup>14</sup> are identified that would yield these putative grades. Finally, these grade boundaries are applied across all pupils<sup>15</sup> (matched and unmatched) to yield an overall putative grade distribution.
  - Common centres. That is, results within 2011 OCR centres in the same GCSE subject in 2010 (regardless of which board they were with in 2010). For each OCR centre, the probability of 2011 pupils achieving any grade was estimated to be equal to the percentage of pupils in the centre who achieved that grade in 2010. Since a small number of centres will not have historical information a similar two-stage procedure was used as for key stage 2.
  - Reproducing the cumulative percentage for OCR candidates in 2010 for 2011. That is, if 46.7 per cent of OCR year 11 Biology candidates were awarded a grade A/A\* in 2010 then the putative percentage for 2011 will be exactly that (46.7).
- Compare the putative percentages from mean GCSE score to the putative percentages from the other three methods to provide an idea of the relative accuracy of each method.

The above methodology allowed us to perform two tasks. Firstly, by taking the predicted percentage from mean concurrent GCSE as a "gold standard", the results of this analysis allowed us to compare the relative accuracy of the other three methods that could be used to set standards. Secondly, this analysis allowed us to identify any subjects where the standard implied by KS2 was out of line with the standard implied by other methods. We were then able to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Across all boards

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> And that, as within current practice in the use of KS2 prediction matrices, we exclude the KS2 results of candidates in independent and selective schools.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Obviously in practice we cannot directly manipulate grade boundaries on the UMS scale. However, for the purposes of a research project this would seem like a reasonable procedure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Including those in independent and selective schools.

explore whether there were any common patterns relating to big differences between the different methods.

An overall summary of the differences between the different methods is shown in table 4. The results suggest that on average the predicted grade distribution based on KS2 is closer to the predictions from concurrent attainment than either a common centres approach or simply carrying forward the percentage achieving particular grades from the previous year. Having said this, the improvement in accuracy, either in terms of mean or median difference across subjects, tends to be within 1 percentage point of the accuracy of the common centres approach. For example, at grade A, the median difference between KS2-based predictions and the gold standard is 1.0 percentage points compared to a median difference of 1.6 percentage points for the common centres method.

It is also worth noting that the common centres approach itself appears to provide a slightly more accurate method for settings standards than simply reproducing the pass rate from the previous year.

	Grade	F		Grade	С		Grade A				
	Absolu betwee percen GCSE	te differenc n putative tage from n and	e nean	Absolu betwee percen GCSE	ite differenc in putative tage from n and	e nean	Absolute difference between putative percentage from mean GCSE and…				
Results across all 52 subjects	KS2	Common Centres	Repeat 2010 results	KS2	Common Centres	Repeat 2010 results	KS2	Common Centres	Repeat 2010 results		
Mean	0.3	0.6	0.7	1.0	2.0	2.7	1.2	2.3	2.6		
Median	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.8	1.2	1.8	1.0	1.6	1.8		
Min	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1		
Max	2.9	3.8	2.5	3.3	10.7	9.8	5.7	13.4	10.2		
Standard Deviation	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.7	2.2	2.4	1.1	2.3	2.5		

Table 4: Extent to which different methods match the predicted grade distributions generated using concurrent GCSE attainment

The association between differences for individual subjects and the number of OCR entries in 2011 is shown in figure 6. Again we see a general tendency for the differences between the methods to reduce as the sample size increases. However, the differences between methods remain a little larger than might be expected from the tolerances displayed in table 3; particularly for subjects with large entries. Specifically we can see that for subjects with entries of more than 10,000 candidates the difference between the predicted grade distribution from KS2 is regularly more than the recommended tolerance of 1 percentage point away from the grade distribution predicted by concurrent attainment.

More pertinently it is worth noting that the current tolerance recommended by Ofqual for subjects with more than 3,000 entries is just 1 percentage point. Three thousand entries relates to a value of roughly 3.5 on the x-axis of figure 6. It can be seen that with this size of candidature, a difference between putative distributions exceeding this recommended tolerance is very nearly the norm rather than the exception.

Figure 6: Association between number of 2011 OCR entrants to particular subjects and the differences between putative grade distributions generated from KS2 and concurrent GCSE results



The association between differences for individual subjects and the 2010 correlation between subject grades and KS2 is shown in figure 7. Although there is a hint of an association in this chart, the sample size is too small to say with any certainty whether low correlation affects the accuracy of prediction matrices.

Figure 7: The association between difference between putative grade distributions and the correlation between KS2 and subject grades



It is clear from the above analysis that any potential weakness in the validity of KS2-based predictions does not appear to be purely restricted to subjects with a low KS2-GCSE correlation. For this reason, more detailed investigations of the causes of the differences between predicted distributions based on key stage 2 and on concurrent GCSE are undertaken below.

The most obvious reason why the predicted distribution from key stage 2 may not match the predictive distribution from concurrent GCSE is that the two measures may be in line with one another. For example, it might be that KS2 suggests that AQA candidates are less able than OCR candidates whereas concurrent GCSE suggests the reverse. For our own analysis, what is important is whether the relationship between KS2 and concurrent GCSE remains constant between years. For example, if within 2011 the concurrent attainment of candidates is lower than we would expect given their KS2 achievement (and the kind of relationship between the two we have seen in the past), then KS2 achievement will tend to overestimate the likely achievement of the candidates. An instance of this is illustrated from Biology GCSE. Analysis of this OCR subject is based upon 48,334 entries in 2011 with a KS2 match rate of 94 per cent. The predicted percentage to achieve A or above from KS2 attainment was 46.3 per cent compared to 43.7 per cent based on concurrent GCSE achievement yielding a difference of 2.6 percentage points.

The reason behind the differences in the predicted grade distributions is shown in figure 9. Figure 9 shows the relationship between KS2 and mean concurrent GCSE (i.e. not in Biology) for Biology candidates in 2010 and 2011. For the purposes of this study the 2010 data is drawn from all boards (as would be the case in the application of prediction matrices in practice) and the 2011 data is based upon OCR data only. As can be seen, across the range of prior attainment, OCR Biology candidates in 2011 are marginally less able than candidates with similar prior attainment across all boards in 2010. That is, looking at their attainment in other GCSEs, they perform less well than would be expected. This implies that the use of KS2 prior attainment to set standards in this GCSE overestimates the true ability of OCR's candidates and will result in setting grade boundaries that are too lenient; in this case allowing an additional 2 per cent of pupils to achieve a grade A.

The differences in the concurrent attainment of candidates given their prior attainment are in fact very small; less than 0.1 grades. It is interesting to note that such small differences can lead to predictions based on KS2 being out of tolerance with predictions from concurrent attainment. This shows just how strongly the assumption of "all things being equal" needs to hold between one year and the next in order for results to be within the tolerances recommended by Ofqual.



Figure 9: The association between KS2 achievement and mean concurrent GCSE attainment for Biology candidates

A second possibility that may damage the validity of KS2-based predictions is that they require us to extrapolate results from one population (that is, candidates with matched KS2 information) to the population as a whole. An example of where this issue occurs is for Art & Design (Textiles). Analysis of this OCR subject is based upon 1,067 entries in 2011 with a KS2 match rate of 86 per cent. The predicted percentage to achieve A or above from KS2 attainment was 37.9 per cent compared to 32.1 per cent based on concurrent GCSE achievement yielding a fairly large difference of 5.8 percentage points.

Firstly note that this difference is not due to the same mechanism shown in figure 9 (that is, OCR candidates in 2011 having apparently lower ability than those with similar prior attainment in 2010). The true reason for the difference is shown in figure 10. Figure 10 compares the association between concurrent GCSE grade and the chances of achieving grade A or above in GCSE Art & Design (Textiles). This relationship is shown both for the whole sample of pupils as well as restricted to those with matching KS2 achievement. This relationship is shown both in 2010 (using data from all boards) and in 2011 (using data from OCR only). The figure shows that, whereas in 2010 pupils with matched KS2 data tend to have similar performance compared to all candidates, in 2011 they underperform. This means that relying on the data from matched KS2 candidates in 2011 implicitly assumes that we expect them to be as strong as candidates in 2010. Given that matched candidates are now weaker than the unmatched candidates, this is unlikely to be the case in 2011 and so setting standards based on those with matching KS2 data will result in setting grade boundaries that are too lenient.

Another way to explain why differences between matched candidates and the cohort as a whole will lead to differences with the predicted distribution based on concurrent attainment is as follows:

- When we make a predicted distribution using concurrent attainment we use the whole cohort. This means we assume that pupils in 2011 are equally good at Art & Design (Textiles) relative to their other subjects as they are in 2010.
- When we make a predicted distribution using KS2 we use only the matched cohort. We are now assuming that *matched* pupils in 2011 are equally good at Art & Design (Textiles) relative to their KS2 (and by implication relative to their concurrent attainment) as they are in 2010.
- However, figure 10 shows us that the above assumptions cannot *both* be true as in 2010 there is no difference between the matched cohort and the cohort as a whole, whereas in 2011 there is.

Putting this in plain language, figure 10 shows that, relative to the cohort as a whole, matched candidates are not as good at Art & Design in 2011 as they were in 2010. However, our model assumes that they are just as good and so we would set grade boundaries that are too generous.

Figure 10: The association between mean concurrent GCSE grade and probability of achieving grade A or above in GCSE Art & Design (Textiles) for all and matched candidates in 2010 and 2011



# Is there any value in taking account of gender as well as key stage 2 attainment?

One suggested way to improve the effectiveness of prediction matrices is to allow them to take account of variables beyond prior attainment at key stage 2. For example, it may be suggested that the prediction models could be improved by taking account of changes in the gender distribution of cohort as well as prior attainment at KS2. In order to test this idea, the following procedure was used:

- Restrict data set to candidates with information on their prior attainment at key stage 2 and gender.
- Fit two predictive models based on historical data in 2010 to produce the predicted probability of students having each grade in each subject in 2011. The first model should be based on KS2 prior attainment only (as for prediction matrices currently). The second method should be upon an ordinal logistic regression taking account of both KS2 attainment and gender. Compare the predicted probabilities to the actual outcomes of individuals to work out the deviance of each method.
- Compare the overall deviance for each method.

The overall results are shown in table 5. As can be seen the improvement in model fit from including gender is very slight indicating that this will lead to little practical improvement in the model.

#### Table 5: Overall deviance of models including and excluding gender across all subjects

Total deviance summed across all subjects
188554
188255
0.16%

Additional analysis was also undertaken comparing the putative grade distributions from models including gender and not including gender for each GCSE subject within every awarding body. These comparisons found that, once analysis was restricted to subjects with at least 500 entries within a given awarding body, only one instance (Edexcel Urdu C grade) was found where there was a change of more than 1 per cent in the putative percentage. This finding confirms that taking account of gender would make little difference to the practical application of prediction matrices.

### Summary

- GCSE subject grades have a lower correlation with KS2 than with either KS3 or concurrent attainment in other GCSE subjects. However, aside from subjects with very small entries, these correlations are rarely below 0.4. Equally they are rarely greater than 0.7 indicating that KS2 is neither a terrible nor an excellent predictor of likely achievement in any GCSE.
- Margins of error as calculated using balanced repeated replication indicate that current tolerances required by Ofqual may be marginally too low. Furthermore, comparing predicted overall grade distributions from KS2 with those based on concurrent attainment confirms that generally speaking differences between predictions from the two sources will be within expected limits given the standard errors. Using either method, the correlation between GCSE subject grades and KS2 does not appear to be a major factor in determining the margin of error.
- Having said this, greater discrepancies between the predicted grade distributions emerge once we look within individual awarding bodies rather than at overall achievement. This may indicate that the assumptions underpinning prediction matrices are less valid when applied within individual awarding bodies rather than across the whole population. It may further imply that the current tolerances applied to the technique are too low; especially for subjects with large entries.
- Although for the majority of GCSE subjects there are not huge problems with the use of KS2-based predictions<sup>16</sup>, in a minority of cases these can yield different results to predictions based on concurrent GCSE attainment. This suggests that, whilst prediction matrices based on KS2 will generally provide reasonable results, they do not provide an infallible source of information.
- Examining the relationship between GCSE grades and future attainment at AS level reveals no evidence that setting grade boundaries using KS2 results affects the predictive validity of GCSEs. Furthermore, there is no evidence that such problems are particularly more likely to occur for GCSE subjects with lower correlation with KS2.
- On average, use of KS2 appears to provide more accurate estimates than either common centres or simply carrying forward the percentage from the previous year.
- The biggest differences between predicted grade distributions based on KS2 data and those based on concurrent GCSE results tend to relate to one of two causes:
  - Candidates with a particular board having higher concurrent attainment than would be expected given their prior attainment and the historical relationship between prior and concurrent attainment. This may occur if candidates with a particular exam board happen to attend schools with greater value added than others and can affect the accuracy of KS2 predictions matrices even if this effect is quite small.
  - The performance of candidates with matching KS2 data given their concurrent GCSE score and relative to the cohort as a whole changing between years. This cause may have particularly important implications when it comes to setting standards in summer 2015 as many more GCSE candidates will not have matching KS2 information due to the 2010 KS2 boycott. This may lead to change in the nature of the matched candidature relative to the unmatched group.
- Using information about candidate's gender in addition to their prior attainment appears to make very little difference to the predictions from the method.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Although within individual awarding bodies differences may be a little outside the allowed tolerances.

# Appendix 1 – Correlations between GCSE grade and other attainment measures by subject

	Correlations with KS2, KS3 and mean concurrent GCSE (excluding given subject)										
		2	009			2	2010		2011		
		Mean				Mean				Mean	
Subject	KS2	GCSE	KS3	Ν	KS2	GCSE	KS3	Ν	KS2	GCSE	N
Biology	0.58	0.84	0.77	67067	0.53	0.83	0.75	94463	0.51	0.83	121371
Chemistry	0.47	0.82	0.72	63274	0.46	0.82	0.71	91479	0.45	0.82	119119
Physics	0.47	0.8	0.72	63170	0.47	0.8	0.72	91442	0.47	0.81	118466
Science (Core)	0.71	0.84	0.85	365318	0.68	0.84	0.83	183096	0.69	0.83	303300
Science SA	-	-	-	-	0.71	0.83	0.86	154738	-	-	-
Additional Science	0.62	0.85	0.79	284239	0.6	0.84	0.78	259067	0.58	0.83	234685
Astronomy	0.53	0.78	0.71	685	0 48	0.74	0.66	837	0.46	0.75	881
Electronics	0.64	0.82	0.76	391	0.54	0.69	0.65	296	0.61	0.82	423
Environmental	0.01	0.02	0.1 0	001	0.01	0.00	0.00	200	0.01	0.02	.20
Science	0.59	0.78	0.72	2240	0.59	0.8	0.73	2036	0.68	0.81	1948
Geology	0.57	0.78	0.7	474	0.65	0.85	0.79	628	0.52	0.79	687
Mathematics	0.76	0.83	0.88	481464	0.76	0.83	0.88	453648	0.76	0.83	434018
Additional											
Mathematics	0.67	0.78	0.81	13087	0.67	0.76	0.8	10964	0.67	0.77	8817
Statistics	0.6	0.8	0.76	33302	0.56	0.79	0.74	32308	0.53	0.77	29788
Information &											
Communications											
Technology	0.53	0.74	0.65	44357	0.53	0.75	0.66	34333	0.52	0.74	26498
Motor Vehicle											
Studies	0.45	0.65	0.59	108	0.48	0.65	0.62	155	-	-	-
Business	0.50	0.0	0.74	00074	0.55	0.0	0.7	50000	0.0	0.00	40700
Studies: Single	0.56	0.8	0.71	62274	0.55	0.8	0.7	58680	0.6	0.83	48730
Economics	0.50	0.82	0.75	2017	0.55	0.81	0.73	17/0	0.6	0.86	2068
Home Economics:	0.59	0.02	0.75	2017	0.55	0.01	0.75	1743	0.0	0.00	2900
Child Development	0.51	0.76	0.63	20822	0.51	0.75	0.65	19046	0.54	0.77	16475
Home Economics:	0.01	0.1.0	0.00		0.0.	0.1.0	0.00		0.0.	•	
Food	0.53	0.75	0.64	5986	0.55	0.76	0.66	6559	0.6	0.81	9237
Home Economics:											
Textiles	0.55	0.65	0.59	56	0.48	0.75	0.57	100	0.37	0.62	183
Art & Design	0.46	0.66	0.57	87824	0.46	0.66	0.57	83717	0.47	0.66	75056
Art & Design											
(Graphics)	0.41	0.61	0.5	4604	0.41	0.61	0.51	4643	0.42	0.61	5094
Art & Design			<b>a</b> 4 <b>a</b>				o (=				0704
(Photography)	0.37	0.59	0.46	5374	0.36	0.58	0.45	/185	0.38	0.59	8721
Art & Design	0.41	0.62	0 5 2	5701	0 42	0.62	0.52	6012	0.44	0.64	6250
Art & Design (3d	0.41	0.02	0.52	5701	0.43	0.03	0.55	0013	0.44	0.04	0300
Studies)	0.37	0.59	0 47	2288	0.34	0.57	0 45	1804	0 42	0.63	1980
Art & Design (Fine	0.07	0.00	0.17	2200	0.01	0.01	0.10	1001	0.12	0.00	1000
Art)	0.47	0.66	0.57	37015	0.46	0.66	0.57	36611	0.49	0.68	43960
Geography	0.65	0.86	0.79	136912	0.64	0.86	0.78	137457	0.67	0.87	142801
History	0.62	0.84	0.75	162033	0.6	0.84	0.75	164749	0.63	0.84	175775
Economics	0.54	0.8	0.71	1903	0.5	0.77	0.69	2131	0.55	0.82	2896
Humanities: Single	0.61	0.84	0.74	10833	0.6	0.83	0.00	8828	0.00	0.82	9948
Religious Studios	0.56	0.04	0.60	136284	0.55	0.00	0.70	139343	0.58	0.02	169845
l aw	0.50	0.73	0.64	1/00	0.00	0.73	0.03	1261	0.50	0.0	1761
Psychology	0.0	0.74	0.04	5202	0.40	0.7	0.0	5662	0.54	0.70	817F
Sociology	0.40	0.74	0.01	15135	0.40	0.70	0.04	15670	0.55	0.02	17014
	0.00	0.0	0.00	10100	0.40	0.70	0.00	10070	0.00	0.01	1.01-4

Jubject         KS2         GCSE         KS3         N         KS2         GCSE         N           English Studies         0.51         0.68         0.68         0.69         0.86         0.61         0.51         0.53         0.79         402615           Dama & Treatre         0.61         0.68         0.58         71789         0.49         0.67         0.58         67890         0.51         0.67         66137           Expressive Arts &         0.55         0.67         0.59         3822         0.45         0.66         0.55         3290         0.49         0.67         2440         0.51         0.75         2436           Datch         -         -         34         -         -         -         34         0.41         0.55         0.77         2406         0.56         0.77         112650         0.68         51977         0.52         0.76         12849         0.41         0.53         53         7400         7112650         0.50         0.41		Correlations with KS2, KS3 and mean concurrent GCSE (excluding given subject)												
Subject         KS2         GCSE         KS3         N         KS2         GCSE         N         KS2         GCSE         N           English Language &         0.69         0.86         0.86         0.67         0.084         0.49         0.65         0.81         12612         0.51         0.63         2733           English Literature         0.61         0.68         0.67         402914         0.59         0.71         396660         0.59         0.72         40215           Studies         0.61         0.68         0.72         402914         0.59         0.71         396660         0.59         0.72         402615           Studies         0.51         0.68         0.58         71789         0.49         0.67         0.58         67890         0.51         0.67         65333           Studies         0.51         0.75         0.68         52022         0.49         0.74         0.61         50244         0.51         0.75         2496           Dutch         -         -         -         341         -         -         34         0.41         0.53         0.71         114444         0.54         0.77         12494			2	009			2	2010			2011			
English Language &         0.02         0.03         0.04         0.05         0.071         0.085         0.023         0.73         0.071         0.085         0.023         0.73         0.071         0.085         0.073         0.071         0.085         0.73         0.071         0.085         0.73         0.071         0.085         0.73         0.071         0.085         0.079         0.071         0.085         0.67         0.690         0.69         2545           Studies         0.51         0.57         0.63         52062         0.49         0.74         0.61         5024         0.51         0.75         4533         531           Studies         0.56         0.68         0.68         0.67         171444         0.54         0.77         112683           German         0.54         0.76         0.68         0.68         0.68         0.68         1.044         0.54         0.77         112683           Germa	Subject	KS2	Mean GCSE	KS3	N	KS2	Mean GCSE	KS3	N	KS2	Mean GCSE	N		
Luterature 0.69 0.86 0.8 440066 0.69 0.86 0.8 416862 0.71 0.85 400249 English Studies 0.51 0.68 0.67 2044 0.49 0.65 0.61 2612 0.51 0.63 2733 English Literature 0.61 0.8 0.72 402914 0.59 0.79 0.71 396660 0.59 0.79 402615 Drama Tneatre 0.61 0.8 0.72 402914 0.59 0.79 0.71 396660 0.59 0.79 402615 Drama Tneatre 0.5 0.68 0.58 71789 0.49 0.67 0.58 67890 0.51 0.67 66137 Expressive Arts 8 0.5 0.67 0.59 3822 0.45 0.66 0.55 3290 0.49 0.69 2645 Media/FIm/TV Studies 0.5 0.67 0.59 3822 0.45 0.66 0.55 3290 0.49 0.69 2645 Media/FIm/TV Studies 0.5 0.67 0.79 381 0.55 0.79 0.71 159 0.51 0.75 45343 Film Studies 0.68 0.88 0.77 391 0.55 0.70 0.67 1549 0.55 0.75 2498 Dutch 34 34 0.41 0.53 53 French 0.58 0.78 0.71 119660 0.56 0.78 0.7 114447 0.54 0.77 112583 German 0.64 0.76 0.69 54104 0.52 0.75 0.68 51977 0.52 0.76 49589 Italian 0.64 0.76 0.69 54104 0.52 0.75 0.68 51977 0.52 0.76 49589 Italian 0.48 0.68 0.61 2000 0.46 0.69 0.58 2181 0.46 0.67 2184 Modern Greek 49 0.19 0.35 0.26 616 0.32 0.71 47474 Arabic 0.09 0.21 0.17 438 0.65 0.14 244 0.34 0.45 216 Spanish 0.53 0.74 0.67 39913 0.5 0.74 0.65 40820 0.5 0.74 47474 Engali 0.32 0.48 0.36 216 0.28 0.5 0.44 244 0.34 0.45 216 Spanish 0.53 0.74 0.67 39913 0.5 0.74 0.65 40820 0.5 0.74 47474 Arabic 0.09 0.21 0.17 438 0.05 0.18 0.14 413 0.16 0.31 749 Bengali 0.32 0.55 0.48 689 0.34 0.58 0.49 647 0.42 0.6 547 Chinese 0.06 0.34 0.24 358 0.99 0.31 0.22 395 0.16 0.48 433 Gujarati 0.17 0.33 0.26 1164 0.15 0.39 0.24 122 0.19 0.38 143 Japanese 0.37 0.65 0.57 675 0.45 0.59 0.48 0.43 276 0.26 0.55 155 Panjabi 0.21 0.43 0.35 372 0.3 0.48 0.43 321 0.22 0.35 0.48 0.43 Japanese 0.37 0.46 0.57 675 0.45 0.59 0.58 6121 0.35 0.42 0.48 433 Gujarati 0.17 0.33 0.26 1164 0.15 0.39 0.24 122 0.19 0.38 143 Japanese 0.37 0.46 0.57 675 0.45 0.59 0.48 0.43 376 0.18 0.38 137 Pusisan 0.24 0.54 0.49 495 0.22 0.52 0.42 150 0.36 0.42 0.55 1.55 Panjabi 0.21 0.43 0.35 3372 0.3 0.48 0.4 321 0.22 0.35 0.66 0.42 2535 Modern Hebrew 0.16 0.2 0.76 0.45 0.55 0.71 0.66 95437 0.53 0.69 4004 Dance 0.48 0.55 0.71 0.	English Language &													
English Lituries         0.61         0.61         0.61         0.61         0.63         2733           English Liturature         0.61         0.8         0.72         402914         0.59         0.79         0.71         396660         0.59         0.79         402615           Drama & Theatre         0.5         0.68         0.58         71789         0.49         0.66         0.55         3290         0.49         0.67         6660         0.55         3290         0.49         0.67         653         3290         0.49         0.65         53         3290         0.49         0.65         0.75         4533           Film Studies         0.68         0.68         0.55         0.79         0.67         1549         0.55         0.75         2498           Dutch         -         -         34         -         -         -         34         0.41         0.53         0.76         0.88         151977         0.53         0.78         0.76         14580           Dutch         -         -         34         0.16         0.56         0.78         0.76         0.76         94929         11447         0.55         0.77         12540         11447 <td>Literature</td> <td>0.69</td> <td>0.86</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>440056</td> <td>0.69</td> <td>0.85</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>416852</td> <td>0.71</td> <td>0.85</td> <td>400249</td>	Literature	0.69	0.86	0.8	440056	0.69	0.85	0.8	416852	0.71	0.85	400249		
English Literature         0.61         0.8         0.72         402914         0.59         0.79         0.71         396660         0.59         0.79         402615           Studies         0.5         0.68         0.58         71789         0.49         0.67         0.58         67890         0.51         0.67         66137           Expressive Arts & Performance Studies         0.51         0.75         0.63         52062         0.49         0.74         0.61         50244         0.51         0.75         45343           Studies         0.61         0.75         0.63         52062         0.49         0.74         0.61         50244         0.51         0.75         45343           Studies         0.68         0.78         0.77         311         0.55         0.79         0.67         1549         0.41         0.49         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         74           Studies         0.61         0.63         0.62         0.65         0.65	English Studies	0.51	0.68	0.67	2084	0.49	0.65	0.61	2612	0.51	0.63	2733		
Drama & Theatre         0.68         0.68         0.71789         0.49         0.67         0.58         67890         0.51         0.67         6137           Expressive Arts & Performance Studies         0.51         0.67         0.59         3822         0.46         0.66         0.55         3290         0.49         0.69         2545           Media/Film/Tv         Studies         0.68         0.88         0.77         331         0.55         0.79         0.67         1549         0.55         0.75         2498           Dutch         -         -         34         -         -         -         34         0.41         0.53         53           French         0.58         0.78         0.71         119660         0.66         0.68         51977         0.52         0.76         49589           Italian         0.44         0.46         0.66         0.61         1290         0.46         0.69         0.26         1144         0.43         0.45         216           Spanish         0.53         0.74         0.67         3913         0.5         0.74         0.65         124         0.45         124         0.45         0.45         0.45	English Literature	0.61	0.8	0.72	402914	0.59	0.79	0.71	396660	0.59	0.79	402615		
Studies         0.5         0.68         0.71789         0.49         0.67         0.58         67890         0.61         0.67         66137           Expressive Arts &         0.67         0.59         3822         0.45         0.66         0.55         3290         0.49         0.69         2545           Media/Film/Tv         5         0.66         0.55         0.79         0.61         50244         0.51         0.75         25343           Film Studies         0.68         0.68         0.77         391         0.55         0.70         0.67         1649         0.55         0.77         244         0.54         0.77         1253         75           German         0.54         0.76         0.76         0.68         0.61         0.52         0.75         0.68         51977         0.52         0.76         4958           Ialian         0.48         0.68         0.61         0.52         0.75         0.68         1414         1.44         0.34         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24 <td< td=""><td>Drama &amp; Theatre</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Drama & Theatre													
Expressive Arts &         0.5         0.67         0.59         3822         0.45         0.66         0.55         3290         0.49         0.69           Media/Film/Tv         0.51         0.75         0.63         52062         0.49         0.74         0.61         50244         0.51         0.75         45343           Film Studies         0.68         0.85         0.77         391         0.56         0.79         0.67         1549         0.55         0.75         2498           Dutch         -         -         34         -         -         34         0.41         0.53         53           French         0.58         0.76         0.69         558         2181         0.46         0.67         2184           Modern Greek         -         -         -         49         0.19         0.35         0.26         61         0.32         0.41         74           Portuguese         0.23         0.47         0.48         0.49         0.44         0.44         0.34         0.45         0.46         0.44         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.41         0.4	Studies	0.5	0.68	0.58	71789	0.49	0.67	0.58	67890	0.51	0.67	66137		
Media/Film/Tv         0.51         0.57         0.63         52062         0.49         0.74         0.61         50244         0.51         0.75         2498           Dutch         -         -         34         -         -         34         0.41         0.53         537           Dutch         -         -         34         -         -         34         0.41         0.53         53           French         0.58         0.77         0.69         558         0.78         0.71         114960         0.56         0.78         0.7         114447         0.54         0.77         142583           German         0.54         0.76         0.69         0.58         2181         0.46         0.67         495913           Italian         0.48         0.68         0.51         0.74         0.66         0.54         2184           Modern Greek         -         -         -         49         0.13         0.55         0.44         0.44         0.34         0.45         218           Modern Greek         -         -         -         449         0.45         0.45         0.46         0.425         0.55         0.55	Expressive Arts & Performance Studies	0.5	0.67	0.59	3822	0.45	0.66	0.55	3290	0.49	0.69	2545		
Film Studies         0.68         0.85         0.77         391         0.55         0.79         0.67         1549         0.55         0.75         2498           Dutch         -         -         34         -         -         -         34         0.41         0.53         53           German         0.54         0.76         0.69         54104         0.52         0.75         0.68         51977         0.52         0.76         49889           Italian         0.48         0.68         0.61         2000         0.46         0.69         0.58         2181         0.46         0.67         2184           Modern Greek         -         -         -         49         0.19         0.55         0.42         611         0.32         0.41         74           Portuguese         0.23         0.74         0.65         40820         0.5         0.74         4064         0.41         <	Media/Film/Tv Studies	0.51	0.75	0.63	52062	0.49	0.74	0.61	50244	0.51	0.75	45343		
Dutch         - <td>Film Studies</td> <td>0.68</td> <td>0.85</td> <td>0.77</td> <td>391</td> <td>0.55</td> <td>0.79</td> <td>0.67</td> <td>1549</td> <td>0.55</td> <td>0.75</td> <td>2498</td>	Film Studies	0.68	0.85	0.77	391	0.55	0.79	0.67	1549	0.55	0.75	2498		
French         0.58         0.78         0.71         119660         0.56         0.78         0.7         114447         0.54         0.77         112883           German         0.64         0.76         0.69         54104         0.52         0.75         0.68         5197         0.52         0.76         49584           Modern Greek         -         -         49         0.19         0.35         0.26         61         0.32         0.41         74           Portuguese         0.23         0.44         0.67         39913         0.5         0.74         0.65         40820         0.5         0.74         47474           Arabic         0.09         0.21         0.17         438         0.05         0.78         0.41         413         0.16         0.44         643           Gujarati         0.32         0.55         0.48         689         0.34         0.58         621         0.35         0.62         0.55         155           Panjabi         0.32         0.57         675         0.45         0.69         0.58         621         0.35         0.62         0.55         155           Panjabi         0.21         0.4	Dutch	-	-	-	34	-	-	-	34	0.41	0.53	53		
German         0.54         0.76         0.69         54104         0.52         0.75         0.68         51977         0.52         0.76         49589           Italian         0.48         0.66         0.61         2090         0.46         0.69         0.58         2181         0.46         0.67         2184           Modern Greek         -         -         49         0.19         0.35         0.26         61         0.32         0.41         74           Portuguese         0.23         0.48         0.36         216         0.28         0.5         0.4         244         0.34         0.45         216           Spanish         0.53         0.74         0.67         39913         0.5         0.74         0.61         413         0.16         0.13         0.42         0.66         547           Chinese         0.06         0.34         0.24         358         0.14         413         0.16         0.48         643           Gujarati         0.17         0.33         0.23         0.24         1.22         0.18         0.38         137           Panjabi         0.21         0.43         0.35         372         0.3	French	0.58	0.78	0.71	119660	0.56	0.78	0.7	114447	0.54	0.77	112583		
Italian         0.48         0.68         0.61         2090         0.46         0.69         0.58         2181         0.46         0.67         2184           Modem Greek         -         -         49         0.19         0.35         0.26         61         0.32         0.41         74           Portuguese         0.23         0.48         0.36         216         0.28         0.5         0.4         244         0.34         0.45         216           Spanish         0.53         0.74         0.67         39913         0.5         0.74         0.65         40820         0.5         0.74         47474           Arabic         0.09         0.21         0.17         438         0.05         0.18         0.14         13         0.16         0.48         643           Gujarati         0.17         0.33         0.26         164         0.15         0.39         0.22         0.16         0.48         643           Japanese         0.37         0.66         0.57         675         0.45         0.69         0.58         621         0.35         0.55         155           Panjabi         0.21         0.43         0.33	German	0.54	0.76	0.69	54104	0.52	0.75	0.68	51977	0.52	0.76	49589		
Modern Greek         -         -         -         49         0.19         0.35         0.26         61         0.32         0.41         74           Portuguese         0.23         0.48         0.36         216         0.28         0.5         0.4         0.34         0.45         216           Spanish         0.53         0.74         0.67         39913         0.55         0.74         4065         40820         0.5         0.74         4744           Arabic         0.09         0.21         0.17         438         0.05         0.18         0.14         413         0.16         0.31         749           Bengali         0.32         0.55         0.48         689         0.34         0.58         0.47         0.48         643           Gujarati         0.17         0.33         0.26         164         0.15         0.39         0.24         122         0.19         0.38         143           Japanese         0.37         0.65         0.57         675         0.45         0.68         0.43         21         0.23         0.52         0.55         165         166           Turkish         0.21         0.43	Italian	0.48	0.68	0.61	2090	0.46	0.69	0.58	2181	0.46	0.67	2184		
Portuguese         0.23         0.48         0.36         216         0.28         0.5         0.4         244         0.34         0.45         216           Spanish         0.53         0.74         0.67         39913         0.5         0.74         0.65         0.74         47474           Arabic         0.09         0.21         0.17         438         0.05         0.18         0.14         413         0.16         0.31         749           Bengali         0.32         0.55         0.48         689         0.34         0.28         0.47         0.42         0.66         547           Chinese         0.06         0.34         0.24         358         0.09         0.31         0.22         0.35         0.62         535           Modern Hebrew         0.16         0.3         0.23         97         0.28         0.65         0.51         96         0.23         0.48         323           Polish         -         -         44         0.24         0.48         0.43         78         0.18         0.38         137           Russian         0.24         0.54         0.52         2527         0.35         0.55	Modern Greek	-	-	-	49	0.19	0.35	0.26	61	0.32	0.41	74		
Spanish         0.53         0.74         0.67         39913         0.5         0.74         0.65         40820         0.5         0.74         47474           Arabic         0.09         0.21         0.17         438         0.05         0.18         0.14         413         0.16         0.31         749           Bengali         0.32         0.55         0.48         689         0.34         0.58         0.49         647         0.42         0.6         547           Chinese         0.06         0.34         0.24         122         0.19         0.38         143           Japanese         0.37         0.65         0.57         675         0.45         0.69         0.51         96         0.25         0.55         155           Panjabi         0.21         0.43         0.35         372         0.3         0.48         0.4         321         0.23         0.48         132           Polish         -         -         44         0.24         0.48         0.43         78         0.18         0.33         137           Russian         0.24         0.54         0.49         495         0.22         0.32         0.46	Portuguese	0.23	0.48	0.36	216	0.28	0.5	0.4	244	0.34	0.45	216		
Arabic         0.09         0.21         0.07         438         0.06         0.18         0.14         413         0.16         0.31         749           Bengali         0.32         0.55         0.48         689         0.34         0.22         395         0.16         0.48         643           Chinese         0.06         0.34         0.24         358         0.09         0.31         0.22         395         0.16         0.48         643           Gujarati         0.17         0.33         0.26         164         0.15         0.39         0.24         122         0.19         0.38         143           Japanese         0.37         0.65         0.57         675         0.45         0.69         0.58         621         0.35         0.62         535           Modern Hebrew         0.16         0.3         0.23         372         0.3         0.48         0.43         21         0.23         0.48         321         0.23         0.48         321         0.23         0.48         323           Polish         -         -         444         0.24         0.48         0.43         78         0.18         0.32	Spanish	0.53	0.74	0.67	39913	0.5	0.74	0.65	40820	0.5	0.74	47474		
Bengali         0.32         0.55         0.48         689         0.34         0.58         0.49         647         0.42         0.66         547           Chinese         0.06         0.34         0.24         358         0.09         0.31         0.22         395         0.16         0.48         643           Gujarati         0.17         0.33         0.26         164         0.15         0.39         0.24         122         0.19         0.38         143           Japanese         0.37         0.65         0.57         675         0.45         0.69         0.58         621         0.35         0.62         5.55         155           Panjabi         0.21         0.43         0.35         372         0.3         0.48         0.43         321         0.23         0.48         323           Polish         -         -         44         0.24         0.48         0.43         78         0.18         0.38         137           Russian         0.22         0.32         0.22         0.32         0.33         487         0.22         0.32         0.38         397           Urdu         0.42         0.56         0.52<	Arabic	0.09	0.21	0.07	438	0.05	0.18	0.14	413	0.16	0.31	749		
Borngan         Disc         Disc <thdisc< th="">         Disc         Disc         &lt;</thdisc<>	Rengali	0.00	0.55	0.48	689	0.00	0.10	0.11	647	0.10	0.01	547		
Onimase         0.00	Chinese	0.02	0.34	0.40	358	0.04	0.00	0.40	305	0.42	0.0	6/3		
Outpath         0.17         0.15         0.15         0.15         0.15         0.14         0.14         0.12         0.15         0.16 <th0.17< th="">         0.10         0.10         &lt;</th0.17<>	Guiarati	0.00	0.34	0.24	16/	0.05	0.01	0.22	122	0.10	0.40	1/3		
Japanese         0.37         0.03         0.33         0.021         0.33         0.021         0.33         0.022         0.33         0.021         0.33         0.022         0.33         0.021         0.33         0.021         0.33         0.021         0.33         0.021         0.33         0.021         0.33         0.021         0.33         0.021         0.33         0.021         0.33         0.021         0.031         0.021         0.031         0.021         0.031         0.021         0.021         0.035         0.021         0.021         0.021         0.035         0.021         0.031         0.041         0.021	Japapasa	0.17	0.55	0.20	675	0.15	0.55	0.24	621	0.13	0.50	525		
Industrial fields       0.10       0.23       0.23       0.24       0.24       0.04       0.21       0.23       0.43       0.33       137         Russian       0.24       0.54       0.49       495       0.22       0.52       0.42       0.33       487       0.22       0.38       397         Urdu       0.42       0.58       0.52       2507       0.35       0.56       0.61       72       0.41       0.28       54         Classical Civilisation       0.51       0.78       0.65       165       0.51       0.71	Modern Hebrew	0.57	0.03	0.37	073	0.45	0.09	0.50	021	0.35	0.02	155		
Participal       0.11       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.14       0.24       0.23       0.14       0.23       0.14       0.23       0.14       0.23       0.14       0.23       0.13       0.14       0.21       0.13       0.14       0.21       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.14       0.21       0.13       0.14       0.22       0.03       0.22       0.03       0.22       0.03       0.22       0.03       0.22       0.03       0.22       0.33       0.48       0.22       0.38       397         Urdu       0.42       0.58       0.52       2507       0.35       0.55       0.46       2281       0.34       0.53       2230         Persian       0.37       0.48       0.5       66       0.5       0.55       0.61       72       0.41       0.28       2511         Classical Civilisation       0.51       0.72       0.46       87       0.14       0.77       0.64       1788       0.56       0.62       2511         Classical Greek       0.2       0.77       0.65       38343       0.54       0.7       0.64       36635       0.56       0.71       37266         Physical </td <td>Panjahi</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>372</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>0.51</td> <td>321</td> <td>0.23</td> <td>0.33</td> <td>323</td>	Panjahi	0.10	0.3	0.25	372	0.20	0.03	0.51	321	0.23	0.33	323		
Protect         Protect <t< td=""><td>Polich</td><td>0.21</td><td>0.43</td><td>0.55</td><td>312</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.40</td><td>0.4</td><td>70</td><td>0.23</td><td>0.40</td><td>127</td></t<>	Polich	0.21	0.43	0.55	312	0.3	0.40	0.4	70	0.23	0.40	127		
Russian         0.24         0.34         0.49         445         0.22         0.32         0.42         303         0.23         0.23         0.48           Turkish         0.22         0.32         0.28         413         0.22         0.33         487         0.22         0.33         397           Urdu         0.42         0.58         0.52         2507         0.35         0.55         0.46         2281         0.34         0.53         2230           Persian         0.37         0.48         0.5         66         0.5         0.55         0.61         72         0.41         0.28         54           Classical Civilisation         0.51         0.76         0.65         1665         0.5         0.77         0.64         1788         0.56         0.82         2511           Classical Greek         0.2         0.72         0.46         87         0.14         0.77         0.37         117         0.25         0.76         426           Latin         0.32         0.76         0.65         38343         0.54         0.7         0.64         36635         0.56         0.71         37206           Physical         Education/Sports	Pussion	-	-	- 0.40	44	0.24	0.40	0.43	<u> </u>	0.10	0.30	646		
Turksin         0.22         0.32         0.32         0.413         0.22         0.33         0.47         0.22         0.35         0.35         0.46         0.2281         0.34         0.52         2230           Urdu         0.42         0.58         0.52         2507         0.35         0.55         0.61         72         0.41         0.28         54           Classical Civilisation         0.51         0.78         0.65         1665         0.5         0.77         0.64         1788         0.56         0.82         2511           Classical Greek         0.2         0.72         0.46         87         0.14         0.77         0.37         117         0.25         0.76         426           Latin         0.32         0.76         0.6         2476         0.34         0.76         0.54         2395         0.36         0.79         4598           Music         0.55         0.71         0.65         38343         0.54         0.7         0.64         36635         0.56         0.71         3706           Physical         Education/Sports         5         0.71         0.66         105240         0.55         0.71         0.66         <	Turkich	0.24	0.04	0.49	490	0.22	0.52	0.42	107	0.20	0.0	207		
Oldu         0.42         0.36         0.32         2307         0.35         0.36         0.46         2281         0.34         0.35         0.235           Persian         0.37         0.48         0.5         66         0.5         0.61         72         0.41         0.28         54           Classical Civilisation         0.51         0.78         0.65         1665         0.5         0.77         0.64         1788         0.56         0.82         2511           Classical Greek         0.2         0.72         0.46         87         0.14         0.77         0.37         117         0.25         0.76         426           Latin         0.32         0.76         0.6         2476         0.34         0.76         0.54         2395         0.36         0.79         4598           Music         0.55         0.71         0.65         38343         0.54         0.7         0.64         36635         0.56         0.71         37206           Physical         0.56         0.71         0.66         105240         0.55         0.71         0.66         95437         0.53         0.69         86040           Dance         0.48		0.22	0.52	0.20	2507	0.22	0.57	0.33	407	0.22	0.30	2220		
Persian         0.37         0.48         0.5         060         0.55         0.61         72         0.41         0.28         54           Classical Civilisation         0.51         0.78         0.65         1665         0.5         0.77         0.64         1788         0.56         0.82         2511           Classical Greek         0.2         0.72         0.46         87         0.14         0.77         0.37         117         0.25         0.76         426           Latin         0.32         0.76         0.65         38343         0.54         0.77         0.64         36635         0.56         0.71         37206           Physical                70.64         36635         0.56         0.71         37206           Physical                70.64         36635         0.56         0.71         3746         0.55         0.71         0.66         95437         0.53         0.69         86040           Dance         0.48         0.65         0.56         13910         0.46         0.55         13321	Dereien	0.42	0.00	0.52	2007	0.35	0.55	0.40	2201	0.34	0.55	2230		
Classical Civilisation         0.71         0.78         0.05         1065         0.77         0.64         1786         0.56         0.62         2311           Classical Greek         0.2         0.72         0.46         87         0.14         0.77         0.37         117         0.25         0.76         426           Latin         0.32         0.76         0.6         2476         0.34         0.76         0.54         2395         0.36         0.79         4598           Music         0.55         0.71         0.65         38343         0.54         0.7         0.64         36635         0.56         0.71         37206           Physical	Classical Civiliantian	0.37	0.40	0.5	100	0.5	0.55	0.01	1700	0.41	0.20	2511		
Classical Greek         0.2         0.72         0.46         87         0.14         0.77         0.37         117         0.25         0.76         426           Latin         0.32         0.76         0.6         2476         0.34         0.76         0.54         2395         0.36         0.79         4598           Music         0.55         0.71         0.65         38343         0.54         0.7         0.64         36635         0.56         0.71         37206           Physical         Education/Sports         0.56         0.71         0.66         105240         0.55         0.71         0.66         95437         0.53         0.69         86040           Dance         0.48         0.65         0.56         13910         0.46         0.64         0.55         13321         0.44         0.6         11494           Catering Studies         0.5         0.7         0.6         10230         0.49         0.71         0.61         12823         -         -         -         -         -         0.61         0.62         0.79         13246           General Studies         0.68         0.83         0.8         5305         0.67	Classical Civilisation	0.51	0.78	0.65	000	0.5	0.77	0.64	1/88	0.05	0.82	2511		
Latin         0.32         0.76         0.6         2476         0.34         0.76         0.54         2395         0.36         0.79         4598           Music         0.55         0.71         0.65         38343         0.54         0.7         0.64         36635         0.56         0.71         37206           Physical Education/Sports         0.56         0.71         0.66         105240         0.55         0.71         0.66         95437         0.53         0.69         86040           Dance         0.48         0.65         0.56         13910         0.46         0.64         0.55         13321         0.44         0.6         11494           Catering Studies         0.5         0.7         0.6         10230         0.49         0.71         0.61         12823         - <td></td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.72</td> <td>0.46</td> <td>0.470</td> <td>0.14</td> <td>0.77</td> <td>0.37</td> <td>0005</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>0.76</td> <td>420</td>		0.2	0.72	0.46	0.470	0.14	0.77	0.37	0005	0.25	0.76	420		
Music         0.55         0.71         0.65         38343         0.54         0.7         0.64         36635         0.56         0.71         37206           Physical Education/Sports         0.56         0.71         0.66         105240         0.55         0.71         0.66         95437         0.53         0.69         86040           Dance         0.48         0.65         0.56         13910         0.46         0.64         0.55         13321         0.44         0.6         11494           Catering Studies         0.5         0.7         0.6         10230         0.49         0.71         0.61         12223         - </td <td>Latin</td> <td>0.32</td> <td>0.76</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>2476</td> <td>0.34</td> <td>0.76</td> <td>0.54</td> <td>2395</td> <td>0.36</td> <td>0.79</td> <td>4598</td>	Latin	0.32	0.76	0.6	2476	0.34	0.76	0.54	2395	0.36	0.79	4598		
Studies         0.56         0.71         0.66         105240         0.55         0.71         0.66         95437         0.53         0.69         86040           Dance         0.48         0.65         0.56         13910         0.46         0.64         0.55         13321         0.44         0.6         11494           Catering Studies         0.5         0.77         0.6         10230         0.49         0.71         0.61         12823         -<	Physical Education/Sports	0.55	0.71	0.05	38343	0.54	0.7	0.64	30035	0.00	0.71	37206		
Dance         0.48         0.65         0.56         13910         0.46         0.64         0.55         13321         0.44         0.6         11494           Catering Studies         0.5         0.7         0.6         10230         0.49         0.71         0.61         12823         -         -         -           Office Technology         0.61         0.77         0.73         26247         0.6         0.77         0.72         21280         0.62         0.79         13246           General Studies         0.68         0.83         0.8         5305         0.67         0.83         0.8         6094         0.65         0.82         5321           D&T Electronic         Hodde         Ho	Studies	0.56	0.71	0.66	105240	0.55	0.71	0.66	95437	0.53	0.69	86040		
Catering Studies         0.5         0.7         0.6         10230         0.49         0.71         0.61         12823         -         -         -           Office Technology         0.61         0.77         0.73         26247         0.6         0.77         0.72         21280         0.62         0.79         13246           General Studies         0.68         0.83         0.8         5305         0.67         0.83         0.8         6094         0.65         0.82         5321           D&T Electronic	Dance	0.48	0.65	0.56	13910	0.46	0.64	0.55	13321	0.44	0.6	11494		
Office Technology         0.61         0.77         0.73         26247         0.6         0.77         0.72         21280         0.62         0.79         13246           General Studies         0.68         0.83         0.8         5305         0.67         0.83         0.8         6094         0.65         0.82         5321           D&T Electronic	Catering Studies	0.5	0.7	0.6	10230	0.49	0.71	0.61	12823	-	-	-		
General Studies         0.68         0.83         0.8         5305         0.67         0.83         0.8         6094         0.65         0.82         5321           D&T Electronic         0.51         0.74         0.65         10576         0.52         0.74         0.66         9838         0.52         0.75         8973           D&T Food	Office Technology	0.61	0.77	0.73	26247	0.6	0.77	0.72	21280	0.62	0.79	13246		
D&T Electronic         0.51         0.74         0.65         10576         0.52         0.74         0.66         9838         0.52         0.75         8973           D&T Food	General Studies	0.68	0.83	0.8	5305	0.67	0.83	0.8	6094	0.65	0.82	5321		
D&T Food Technology         0.55         0.76         0.67         59939         0.54         0.76         0.66         56933         0.56         0.77         49452           D&T Graphic Products         0.48         0.7         0.6         49823         0.48         0.7         0.6         46057         0.54         0.75         39360           D&T Resistant Materials         0.47         0.7         0.6         61639         0.47         0.69         0.6         56578         0.53         0.74         50754           D&T Textiles Technology         0.54         0.75         0.66         35284         0.55         0.76         0.67         32194         0.57         0.77         30643           D&T Systems &         0.47         0.68         0.6         5176         0.68         0.59         4920         0.52         0.73         3942	D&T Electronic Products	0.51	0.74	0.65	10576	0.52	0.74	0.66	9838	0.52	0.75	8973		
Technology         0.55         0.76         0.67         59939         0.54         0.76         0.66         56933         0.56         0.77         49452           D&T Graphic         -	D&T Food		••••											
D&T Graphic Products         0.48         0.7         0.6         49823         0.48         0.7         0.6         46057         0.54         0.75         39360           D&T Resistant Materials         0.47         0.7         0.6         61639         0.47         0.69         0.6         56578         0.53         0.74         50754           D&T Textiles Technology         0.54         0.75         0.66         35284         0.55         0.76         0.67         32194         0.57         0.77         30643           D&T Systems &         0.47         0.68         0.6         5176         0.46         0.68         0.59         4920         0.52         0.73         3942	Technology	0.55	0.76	0.67	59939	0.54	0.76	0.66	56933	0.56	0.77	49452		
D&T Resistant Materials         0.47         0.7         0.6         61639         0.47         0.69         0.6         56578         0.53         0.74         50754           D&T Textiles Technology         0.54         0.75         0.66         35284         0.55         0.76         0.67         32194         0.57         0.77         30643           D&T Systems &         0.47         0.68         0.6         5176         0.46         0.68         0.59         4920         0.52         0.73         3942	D&T Graphic Products	0.48	0.7	0.6	49823	0.48	0.7	0.6	46057	0.54	0.75	39360		
D&T Textiles         0.54         0.75         0.66         35284         0.55         0.76         0.67         32194         0.57         0.77         30643           D&T Systems &         0.47         0.68         0.66         5176         0.46         0.68         0.59         4920         0.52         0.73         3942	D&T Resistant Materials	0 47	0.7	0.6	61639	0 47	0.69	0.6	56578	0.53	0.74	50754		
Technology         0.54         0.75         0.66         35284         0.55         0.76         0.67         32194         0.57         0.77         30643           D&T Systems &         0.47         0.68         0.6         5176         0.46         0.68         0.59         4920         0.52         0.73         3942	D&T Textiles	J.=T/	0.1	0.0	01000	J.=T/	0.00	0.0	00010	0.00	0.14	00104		
D&T Systems &         0.47         0.68         0.6         5176         0.46         0.68         0.59         4920         0.52         0.73         3942	Technology	0.54	0.75	0.66	35284	0.55	0.76	0.67	32194	0.57	0.77	30643		
	D&T Systems &	0.47	0.68	0.6	5176	0.46	0.68	0.59	4920	0.52	0.73	3942		

	C	Correlations with KS2, KS3 and mean concurrent GCSE (excluding given subject)										
		2009				2010				2011		
Subject	KS2	Mean GCSE	KS3	N	KS2	Mean GCSE	KS3	N	KS2	Mean GCSE	N	
Control												
D&T Engineering	0.41	0.71	0.54	572	0.36	0.58	0.46	577	0.49	0.73	404	
D&T Product Design	0.5	0.72	0.62	22102	0.49	0.71	0.62	25504	0.52	0.74	27974	

Figure A1 below shows the relationship between the correlation between KS2 and GCSE grade found in 2010 and that found in 2011. As can be seen, these correlations are relatively stable over time. The GCSE subjects with the highest correlation with KS2 in 2010 also tend to be the ones with the highest correlation in 2011.



