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## 1. Introduction

This report investigates the awarding of $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ at A-level in 2014. In summer 2010 the $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ grade was awarded at A-level for the first time and it was part of new A-level specifications including more demanding questions. The A* grade rewards exceptional performance at A2, and aims to differentiate between the highest achieving candidates. For this reason the $A^{*}$ grade is now commonly used in university offers.

Focusing on candidates taking 3 or more A-levels, the report looks at those candidates awarded with the following:

- three $A^{*}$ grades (or better);
- two A* grades and an A grade (or better);
- one A* grade and two A grades (or better);
- three A grades (or better);
- one A grade and two B grades (or better) ${ }^{1}$

More specifically, this report investigates candidates who achieved these grades overall, by school type, by gender and by level of deprivation. Results are given both including and excluding General Studies and Critical Thinking (henceforth GS and CT respectively), because some Higher Education institutions or courses exclude these subjects from A-level offers. For the same reason, only non-applied A-levels are included.

## 2. Data, variables and methods

Data were extracted from the National Pupil Database (NPD) for 2014. The NPD is compiled for the Department for Education (DfE) from data supplied by the awarding bodies. It contains candidate details, such as gender, school type and level of deprivation, and the national examination results of all pupils within schools in England up to the age of 19. Records are only present if a candidate has sat an examination in a particular subject.

Results shown in the following pages are restricted to 235,214 A-level candidates who turned 18 in the school year 2013/2014. These accounted for approximately $81 \%$ of the total number of A-level candidates in the NPD, and some $36 \%$ of the population of the same age in England based on population estimates for 2014 (ONS, 2014).

School type categories were those given by the National Centre Number (NCN) database and the following types of schools were included in the study:

- City Academy;
- Comprehensive;
- FE Establishment;
- Independent;
- Other;
- Secondary Modern;
- Secondary Selective;
- Sixth Form College;
- Tertiary College.

[^0]Deprivation was measured using the Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) for each candidate, obtained from the Pupil Level Annual School Census (PLASC), provided by the DfE. Candidates were divided into three equal groups (low, medium and high deprivation) using percentile values. As deprivation data were only available from less than $60 \%$ of candidates, results on deprivation could not be considered representative of the overall population of candidates.
Descriptive statistics are presented through tables and graphs. In some cases results referring to candidates who sat their examinations in 2012 or 2011, obtained by Zanini (2013) and Wilson (2012) respectively, are also presented. Where a candidate had taken the same subject examination twice (i.e. had re-sat the qualification) only the highest grade attained was included in the analysis.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Candidates taking three or more A-levels

Table 1a shows that $73.1 \%$ of candidates took three or more A-levels in 2014, reflecting a slight downward trend over time. Table 1b shows the same information, but excluding 354 candidates who took only GS and CT, so that the total candidates figure in Tables 1a and 1b is different.

Table 1a: Number of A-level candidates taking three or more A-levels over time (all subjects).

| A-levels taken | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\%$ |  |
| Fewer than 3 | 60,840 | 25.51 |  | 62,518 | 25.80 |  | 63,229 | 26.88 |
| 3 or more | 177,643 | 74.49 |  | 179,760 | 74.20 |  | 171,985 | 73.12 |
| Total | 238,483 | 100 |  | 242,278 | 100 | 235,214 | 100 |  |

Table 1b: Number of A-level candidates taking three or more A-levels over time, excluding GS and CT.

| A-levels taken | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Fewer than 3 | 65,442 | 27.51 | 66,643 | 27.57 | 65,685 | 27.97 |
| 3 or more | 172,432 | 72.49 | 175,083 | 72.43 | 169,175 | 72.03 |
| Total | 237,872 | 100 | 241,726 | 100 | 234,860 | 100 |

Figure 1 shows the distribution of A-level candidates across different school types. This shows that taking three or more A-levels varied according to the school type. Tables 1c and 1d show the number and proportion of candidates taking three or more A-levels by school type, including and excluding GS and CT, respectively. Across all subjects, the percentage of candidates taking three or more A-levels at Secondary Selective schools was around 93\%. This number was slightly lower ( $87 \%$ ) for those attending Independent schools, while it was considerably lower for those at FE Establishment (62\%) and Secondary Modern schools (49\%), in particular. These figures are similar when GS and CT are excluded.


Figure 1: A-level candidates taking three or more A-levels in 2014 by school type (all subjects).

Table 1c: Candidates taking three or more A-levels by school type (all subjects).

| A-levels taken |  | Acad. | School type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Compr. | FE Est. | Indep. | Other | Sec. <br> Mod. | Sec. Sel. | Sixth F. Coll. | Tert. Coll. |  |
| Fewer than 3 | N | 19,196 | 18,317 | 2,773 | 3,816 | 744 | 1,077 | 570 | 14,188 | 2,461 | 63,142 |
|  | \% | 27.82 | 32.60 | 37.96 | 12.52 | 37.59 | 51.14 | 6.61 | 27.98 | 28.65 | 26.87 |
| 3 or more | N | 49,799 | 37,876 | 4,532 | 26,670 | 1,235 | 1,029 | 8,059 | 36,513 | 6,128 | 171,841 |
|  | \% | 72.18 | 67.40 | 62.04 | 87.48 | 62.41 | 48.86 | 93.39 | 72.02 | 71.35 | 73.13 |
| Total | N | 68,995 | 56,193 | 7,305 | 30,486 | 1,979 | 2,106 | 8,629 | 50,701 | 8,589 | 234,983 |
|  | \% | 29.36 | 23.91 | 3.11 | 12.97 | 0.84 | 0.90 | 3.67 | 21.58 | 3.66 | 100 |

Note: For 231 candidates the school type is not available.

Table 1d: Candidates taking three or more A-levels by school type, excluding GS and CT.

| A-levels taken |  | Acad. | School type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Compr. | FE Est. | Indep. | Other | Sec. <br> Mod. | Sec. <br> Sel. | Sixth F. Coll. | Tert. Coll. |  |
| Fewer than 3 | N | 19,940 | 18,983 | 2,799 | 3,874 | 754 | 1,089 | 650 | 15,038 | 2,469 | 65,596 |
|  | \% | 28.94 | 33.87 | 38.32 | 12.71 | 38.10 | 51.71 | 7.54 | 29.71 | 28.75 | 27.96 |
| 3 or more | N | 48,965 | 37,062 | 4,506 | 26,603 | 1,225 | 1,017 | 7,967 | 35,571 | 6,119 | 169,035 |
|  | \% | 71.06 | 66.13 | 61.68 | 87.29 | 61.90 | 48.29 | 92.46 | 70.29 | 71.25 | 72.04 |
| Total | N | 68,905 | 56,045 | 7,305 | 30,477 | 1,979 | 2,106 | 8,617 | 50,609 | 8,588 | 234,631 |
|  | \% | 29.37 | 23.89 | 3.11 | 12.99 | 0.84 | 0.90 | 3.67 | 21.57 | 3.66 | 100 |

[^1]
### 3.2. Individual grades awarded

Tables 2 a and 2 b show the numbers and percentages of individual grades awarded, including and excluding GS and CT respectively. The total amount of grades awarded reflects that, on average, candidates took about $2.8(=652,371 / 235,214)$ A-levels.

The tables show that $9 \%$ of grades awarded were A* grades in 2014, which was slightly higher than the results in 2011 and 2012. The percentages of candidates awarded $A$ or $A^{*}$ in 2014 were similar to those reported in the two previous years.

Figure 2 shows the percentages of $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ grades awarded to candidates from different schools. This percentage was higher for candidates attending Independent and Selective schools ( $19 \%$ and $13 \%$ respectively) than from other institutions.

Table 2a: Results over time by grade (all subjects).

| Grades | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| A* | 59,594 | 8.68 | 57,791 | 8.37 | 58,732 | 9.00 |
| A | 132,857 | 19.34 | 132,822 | 19.24 | 122,163 | 18.73 |
| B | 176,598 | 25.71 | 179,986 | 26.07 | 172,256 | 26.40 |
| C | 160,740 | 23.40 | 163,664 | 23.71 | 154,885 | 23.74 |
| D | 100,799 | 14.68 | 100,726 | 14.59 | 93,549 | 14.34 |
| E | 43,491 | 6.33 | 43,076 | 6.24 | 39,794 | 6.10 |
| U | 12,742 | 1.86 | 12,227 | 1.77 | 10,992 | 1.68 |
| Total | 686,821 | 100 | 690,292 | 100 | 652,371 | 100 |

Table 2b: Results over time by grade, excluding GS and CT.

| Grades | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| A* | 57,818 | 8.96 | 56,153 | 8.59 | 57,773 | 9.20 |
| A | 128,998 | 20.00 | 129,679 | 19.84 | 120,040 | 19.11 |
| B | 168,607 | 26.14 | 173,344 | 26.52 | 167,558 | 26.67 |
| C | 150,464 | 23.32 | 154,792 | 23.68 | 149,005 | 23.72 |
| D | 91,557 | 14.19 | 92,385 | 14.14 | 88,227 | 14.04 |
| E | 37,641 | 5.83 | 37,552 | 5.75 | 36,433 | 5.80 |
| U | 10,051 | 1.56 | 9,656 | 1.48 | 9,246 | 1.47 |
| Total | 645,136 | 100 | 653,561 | 100 | 628,282 | 100 |

Figure 2: $\quad A^{*}$ grades at A-level certifications in 2014 by school type (all subjects).


### 3.3. A-level candidates by met criteria

Tables 3 a and 3 b show the proportion of candidates who met the five different combinations of $A^{*}$, $A$ and $B$ grade criteria, including and excluding GS and CT. In the tables both the percentages calculated with respect to the total number of $A$-level candidates and to those candidates taking at least three A-levels are reported. For example, the number of candidates achieving at least three A-levels at A or better in 2014 was 29,071. This corresponds to $12.4 \%$ of the total number of candidates taking at least one A-level and $16.9 \%$ of those taking at least three A-levels.

Results show that the fraction of candidates achieving three A* (or better) was less than 3\% of the total number of $A$-level candidates. About one candidate in eight taking three A-levels was awarded with one $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ and two As (or better).
The comparison over time shows that figures in 2014 were generally slightly larger than those occurred in 2012 and smaller than those for 2011. In other words, the data do not reveal the presence of a clear trend.

The overall picture does not change when candidates taking only GS and CT are excluded.

Table 3a: Candidates achieving different grade criteria over time (all subjects).

| Met criteria | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  | 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% ${ }^{1}$ | \% ${ }^{2}$ | N | \% ${ }^{1}$ | \% ${ }^{2}$ | N | \% ${ }^{1}$ | \% ${ }^{2}$ |
| $A^{*} A^{*} A^{*}$ or better | 5,346 | 2.24 | 3.01 | 5,183 | 2.14 | 2.88 | 5,270 | 2.24 | 3.06 |
| $A^{*} A^{*} A$ or better | 12,327 | 5.17 | 6.94 | 12,004 | 4.95 | 6.68 | 12,123 | 5.15 | 7.05 |
| A*AA or better | 22,916 | 9.61 | 12.90 | 22,315 | 9.21 | 12.41 | 21,715 | 9.23 | 12.63 |
| AAA or better | 31,694 | 13.29 | 17.84 | 31,312 | 12.92 | 17.42 | 29,071 | 12.36 | 16.90 |
| ABB or better | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 62,736 | 26.67 | 36.48 |

Note: $\%^{1}$ and $\%^{2}$ are calculated with respect to the total number of $A$-level candidates and those candidates taking three A-levels or more, respectively.

Table 3b: Candidates achieving different grade criteria over time, excluding GS and CT.

| Met criteria | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  | 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% ${ }^{1}$ | \% ${ }^{2}$ | N | \% ${ }^{1}$ | \% ${ }^{2}$ | N | $\%^{1}$ | \% ${ }^{2}$ |
| $A^{*} A^{*} A^{*}$ or better | 5,026 | 2.11 | 2.82 | 4,907 | 2.03 | 2.80 | 5,091 | 2.17 | 3.01 |
| $A^{*} A^{*} A$ or better | 11,811 | 4.97 | 6.65 | 11,519 | 4.77 | 6.58 | 11,834 | 5.04 | 7.00 |
| A*AA or better | 22,030 | 9.26 | 12.40 | 21,543 | 8.92 | 12.31 | 21,257 | 9.05 | 12.57 |
| AAA or better | 30,516 | 12.83 | 17.18 | 30,264 | 12.53 | 17.29 | 28,438 | 12.11 | 16.81 |
| ABB or better | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 61,670 | 26.26 | 36.45 |

Note: $\%^{1}$ and $\%^{2}$ are calculated with respect to the total amount of A-level candidates and those candidates taking three A-levels or more, respectively.

### 3.4. Gender

Tables 4 a and 4 b show the proportion of candidates within each gender who met the grade criteria described before, including and excluding GS and CT. The two tables report two different percentages: firstly, of those achieving each criterion, what was the percentage of males and females; secondly, what percentage of male and female A level candidates achieved each criterion. For example, Table 3a shows that 2,300 female candidates achieved at least three A-levels at $\mathrm{A}^{*}$. This number represents $1.76 \%$ of all female A-level candidates and $43.64 \%$ of all candidates who achieved at least three A-levels at $A^{*}$.

It is worth noting that among the total A-level candidates over $55 \%$ were female. Roughly $52 \%$ of candidates achieving at least three As at A-level were female, while among those achieving three $A^{*}$ or better, the female candidates were fewer than $44 \%$.

Table 4a: Candidates who met the $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ and A grade criteria by gender (all subjects).

| Met criteria |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| $\mathbf{A}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{*}$ or better | N | 2,970 | 56.36 | 2,300 | 43.64 | 5,270 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 2.84 |  | 1.76 |  | 2.24 |
| $\mathrm{A}^{*} \mathrm{~A}^{*} \mathrm{~A}$ or better | N | 6,239 | 51.46 | 5,884 | 48.54 | 12,123 | 100 |
|  | $\%$ (of A-level candidates) |  | 5.97 | . | 4.5 |  | 5.15 |
| A*AA or better | N | 10,625 | 48.93 | 11,090 | 51.07 | 21,715 | 100 |
|  | $\%$ (of A-level candidates) |  | 10.17 |  | 8.49 |  | 9.23 |
| AAA or better | N | 13,849 | 47.64 | 15,222 | 52.36 | 29,071 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 13.25 |  | 11.65 |  | 12.36 |
| ABB or better | N | 27,770 | 44.26 | 34,966 | 55.74 | 62,736 | 100 |
|  | $\%$ (of A-level candidates) |  | 26.57 |  | 26.75 |  | 26.67 |
| Total A-level candidates | N | 104,516 | 44.43 | 130,698 | 55.57 | 235,214 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |

Table 4b: Candidates who met the A* and A grade criteria by gender, excluding GS and CT.

| Met criteria |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| $\mathbf{A}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{*}$ or better | N | 2,919 | 57.34 | 2,172 | 42.66 | 5,091 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 2.8 |  | 1.66 |  | 2.17 |
| $A^{*} A^{*} \mathbf{A}$ or better | N | 6,150 | 51.97 | 5,684 | 48.03 | 11,834 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 5.9 |  | 4.35 |  | 5.04 |
| A*AA or better | N | 10,476 | 49.28 | 10,781 | 50.72 | 21,257 | 100 |
|  | $\%$ (of A-level candidates) |  | 10.04 |  | 8.26 |  | 9.05 |
| AAA or better | N | 13,633 | 47.94 | 14,805 | 52.06 | 28,438 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 13.07 |  | 11.34 |  | 12.11 |
| ABB or better | N | 27,364 | 44.37 | 34,306 | 55.63 | 61,670 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 26.23 |  | 26.28 |  | 26.26 |
| Total A-level candidates | N | 104,320 | 44.42 | 130,540 | 55.58 | 234,860 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |

### 3.5. School Type

Tables 5 a and 5 b show the proportion of candidates within each type of school who met the five different grade criteria, including and excluding GS and CT. Almost $60 \%$ of candidates $(58.6 \%=31.1 \%+27.5 \%)$ who achieved at least three As at A-levels attended an Independent or a City Academy institution. Only $7.2 \%$ of the candidates who got three grade As or better attended a Secondary Selective school.

However, considering percentages by met criteria does not account for the number of candidates attending these institutions (Figure 1 above). Percentages calculated on the total number of A-level candidates in each school type shows that $11.6 \%$ of candidates at City Academies got at least three As, compared with $29.6 \%$ of Independent school candidates and $24.3 \%$ of Secondary Selective school candidates.

However, it is clear that there was a similar pattern across different schools: the proportion of candidates who got three A*s was around $40-50 \%$ of those who met the two $A^{*}$ or better criteria and these were just over half of those with one A* and two As or better.

### 3.6. Deprivation

The IDACI score measures the level of deprivation experienced by children living in a very small geographical area in England. It takes into account the level of deprivation in terms of economic, social and housing issues, allowing each area to be ranked relative to one another. Unfortunately, this measure was missing for around $40 \%$ of candidates. For the remaining candidates the 33rd and 66th percentiles of the distribution of the IDACI score were used to split the level of deprivation into three groups characterised by a low, medium or high level of deprivation.

Tables 6 a and 6 b show the number and percentage of candidates within each deprivation level who achieved grades at each of the five criteria considered so far. It is clear that the numbers of candidates achieving each criterion was higher in the low deprived group than in the medium deprived group and higher in the medium deprived group than the high deprived group. More than twice as many candidates from a low deprived area achieved three $A^{*}$ grades or better than candidates from a high deprived area. A similar pattern was found for each of the other criteria, suggesting that candidates' performances were associated with the level of deprivation of the area where they live.

Table 5a: Candidates who met the A* and A grade criteria by school type (all subjects).

| Met criteria |  | School type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Academy |  | Compr. |  | FE Est. |  | Indep. |  | Other |  | Sec. Mod. |  | Sec. Sel. |  | Sixth F. Coll. |  | Tert. Coll. |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| $A^{*} A^{*} A^{*}$ or better | N | 1,375 | 26.1 | 646 | 12.3 | 22 | 0.4 | 2,010 | 38.2 | 17 | 0.3 | 34 | 0.7 | 421 | 8.0 | 659 | 12.5 | 83 | 1.6 | 5,267 | 100 |
|  | \% (A-I. cand.) |  | 2.0 | . | 1.2 | . | 0.3 | . | 6.6 | . | 0.9 | . | 1.6 | . | 4.9 | . | 1.3 | . | 1.0 | . | . |
| $A^{*} A^{*} A$ | N | 3,251 | 26.8 | 1,708 | 14.1 | 68 | 0.6 | 4,156 | 34.3 | 46 | 0.4 | 72 | 0.6 | 906 | 7.5 | 1,685 | 13.9 | 221 | 1.8 | 12,113 | 100 |
| or better | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% (A-I. } \\ & \text { cand.) } \end{aligned}$ | . | 4.7 | . | 3.0 | . | 0.9 | . | 13.6 | . | 2.3 | . | 3.4 | . | 10.5 | . | 3.3 | . | 2.6 | . | . |
| A*AAor bett | N | 5,888 | 27.1 | 3,210 | 14.8 | 137 | 0.6 | 7,016 | 32.3 | 93 | 0.4 | 123 | 0.6 | 1,563 | 7.2 | 3,235 | 14.9 | 439 | 2.0 | 21,704 | 100 |
|  | \% (A-I. cand.) | . | 8.5 | . | 5.7 | . | 1.9 | . | 23.0 | . | 4.7 | . | 5.8 | . | 18.1 | . | 6.4 | . | 5.1 |  | . |
| AAA | N | 7,979 | 27.5 | 4,420 | 15.2 | 193 | 0.7 | 9,029 | 31.1 | 118 | 0.4 | 156 | 0.5 | 2,096 | 7.2 | 4,463 | 15.4 | 599 | 2.1 | 29,053 | 100 |
| or better | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% (A-I. } \\ & \text { cand.) } \end{aligned}$ | . | 11.6 | . | 7.9 | . | 2.6 | . | 29.6 | . | 6.0 | . | 7.4 | . | 24.3 | . | 8.8 | . | 7.0 |  | . |
| ABB | N | 18,012 | 28.7 | 11,145 | 17.8 | 695 | 1.1 | 15,837 | 25.3 | 325 | 0.5 | 296 | 0.5 | 3,969 | 6.3 | 10,846 | 17.3 | 1,568 | 2.5 | 62,693 | 100 |
| or better | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% (A-I. } \\ & \text { cand.) } \end{aligned}$ | . | 26.1 | . | 19.8 | . | 9.5 | . | 52.0 | . | 16.4 | . | 14.1 | . | 46.0 | . | 21.4 | . | 18.3 | . | . |
| Total | N | 68,995 | 29.3 | 56,193 | 23.9 | 7,305 | 3.1 | 30,486 | 13.0 | 1,979 | 0.8 | 2,106 | 0.9 | 8,629 | 3.7 | 50,701 | 21.6 | 8,589 | 3.7 | 234,983 | 100 |
| A-level candidates | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% (A-I. } \\ & \text { cand.) } \end{aligned}$ | . | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 | . | 100 |  | 100 | . | 100 | . | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |

Note: For 231 candidates the school type is not available.

Table 5b: Candidates who met the A* and A grade criteria by school type, excluding GS and CT.

| Met criteria |  | School type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Academy |  | Compr. |  | FE Est. |  | Indep. |  | Other |  | Sec. Mod. |  | Sec. Sel. |  | Sixth F. Coll. |  | Tert. Coll. |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
|  | N | 1,320 | 25.9 | 623 | 12.2 | 22 | 0.4 | 1,978 | 38.9 | 16 | 0.3 | 33 | 0.7 | 400 | 7.9 | 613 | 12.1 | 83 | 1.6 | 5,088 | 100 |
| better | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% (A-I. } \\ & \text { cand.) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1.9 | . | 1.1 | . | 0.3 | . | 6.5 | . | 0.8 | . | 1.6 | . | 4.6 | . | 1.2 | . | 1.0 | . | . |
| $A^{*} A^{*} A$ | N | 3,138 | 26.5 | 1,667 | 14.1 | 68 | 0.6 | 4,129 | 34.9 | 45 | 0.4 | 72 | 0.6 | 874 | 7.4 | 1,611 | 13.6 | 221 | 1.9 | 11,825 | 100 |
| or better | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% (A-I. } \\ & \text { cand.) } \end{aligned}$ | . | 4.6 | . | 3.0 | . | 0.9 | . | 13.6 | . | 2.3 | . | 3.4 | . | 10.1 | . | 3.2 | . | 2.6 | . | . |
| A*AA | N | 5,688 | 26.8 | 3,139 | 14.8 | 136 | 0.6 | 6,983 | 32.9 | 92 | 0.4 | 123 | 0.6 | 1,518 | 7.1 | 3,128 | 14.7 | 439 | 2.1 | 21,246 | 100 |
| or better | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% (A-I. } \\ & \text { cand.) } \end{aligned}$ | . | 8.3 | . | 5.6 | . | 1.9 | . | 22.9 | . | 4.7 | . | 5.8 | . | 17.6 | . | 6.2 | . | 5.1 | . | . |
| AAA | N | 7,715 | 27.2 | 4,332 | 15.2 | 193 | 0.7 | 8,977 | 31.6 | 117 | 0.4 | 156 | 0.6 | 2,037 | 7.2 | 4,294 | 15.1 | 599 | 2.1 | 28,420 | 100 |
| or better | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% (A-I. } \\ & \text { cand.) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 11.2 | . | 7.7 | . | 2.6 | . | 29.5 | . | 5.9 | . | 7.4 | . | 23.6 | . | 8.5 | . | 7.0 | . | . |
| ABB | N | 17,612 | 28.6 | 10,944 | 17.8 | 695 | 1.1 | 15,775 | 25.6 | 325 | 0.5 | 293 | 0.5 | 3,860 | 6.3 | 10,558 | 17.1 | 1,566 | 2.5 | 61,628 | 100 |
| or better | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% (A-I. } \\ & \text { cand.) } \end{aligned}$ | . | 25.6 | . | 19.5 | . | 9.5 | . | 51.8 | . | 16.4 | . | 13.9 | . | 44.8 | . | 20.9 | . | 18.2 | . | . |
| Total | N | 68,905 | 29.3 | 56,045 | 23.9 | 7,305 | 3.1 | 30,477 | 13.0 | 1,979 | 0.8 | 2106 | 0.9 | 8,617 | 3.7 | 50,609 | 21.6 | 8,588 | 3.7 | 234,631 | 100 |
| A-level candidates | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% (A-I. } \\ & \text { cand.) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 100 | . | 100 | . | 100 | . | 100 | . | 100 | . | 100 | . | 100 | . | 100 |  | 100 |  | . |

Note: For 231 candidates the school type is not available.

Table 6a: Candidates who met the $A^{*}$ and A grade criteria by deprivation level (all subjects).

| Met criteria |  | Missing |  | High |  | Medium |  | Low |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| $A^{*} A^{*} A^{*}$ or better | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { \% (of A-level } \\ \text { candidates) } \end{array}$ | 2,729 | 51.78 2.86 | 504 | 9.56 1.08 | $833$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.81 \\ 1.79 \end{array}$ | $1,204$ | $22.85$ $2.58$ | 5,270 | 100 |
| $A^{*} A^{*} A$ or better | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { \% (of A-level } \\ \text { candidates) } \end{array}$ | 6,046 | 49.87 6.34 | 1,332 | 10.99 2.86 | 2,020 | 16.66 4.33 | 2,725 | 22.48 5.84 | 12,123 | 100 |
| A*AA or better | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { \% (of A-level } \\ \text { candidates) } \end{array}$ | 10,681 | 49.19 11.20 | 2,485 | 11.44 5.33 | 3,719 | 17.13 7.98 | 4,830 | 22.24 10.36 | 21,715 | 100 |
| AAA or better | \% (of A-level candidates) | 14,068 | 48.39 14.76 | 3,437 | 11.82 7.37 | $5,024$ | 17.28 10.77 | 6,542 | 22.50 14.03 | 29,071 | 100 |
| ABB or better | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { \% (of A-level } \\ \text { candidates) } \end{array}$ | 28,561 | 45.53 29.96 | 8,600 | 13.71 18.44 | $11,467$ | 18.28 24.59 | $14,108$ | 22.49 30.26 | 62,736 | 100 |
| Total A-level candidates | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { \% (of A-level } \\ \text { candidates) } \end{array}$ | 95,330 | 40.53 100 | $46,629$ | 19.82 100 | $46,628$ | 19.82 100 | $46,627$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.82 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | 235,214 | 100 |

Table 6b: Candidates who met the $A^{*}$ and A grade criteria by deprivation level, excluding GS and CT.

| Met criteria |  | Missing |  | High |  | Medium |  | Low |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| $A^{*} A^{*} A^{*}$ or better | N | 2,654 | 52.13 | 483 | 9.49 | 798 | 15.67 | 1,156 | 22.71 | 5,091 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 2.79 |  | 1.04 |  | 1.71 |  | 2.48 |  |  |
| A*A*A or better | N | 5,945 | 50.24 | 1,295 | 10.94 | 1,951 | 16.49 | 2,643 | 22.33 | 11,834 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 6.24 |  | 2.78 |  | 4.19 |  | 5.68 |  |  |
| A*AA or better | N | 10,542 | 49.59 | 2,435 | 11.46 | 3,588 | 16.88 | 4,692 | 22.07 | 21,257 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 11.07 |  | 5.23 |  | 7.71 |  | 10.08 |  |  |
| AAA or better | N | 13,852 | 48.71 | 3,370 | 11.85 | 4,866 | 17.11 | 6,350 | 22.33 | 28,438 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 14.55 |  | 7.24 |  | 10.45 |  | 13.64 |  |  |
| ABB or better | N | 28,,212 | 45.75 | 8,468 | 13.73 | 11,194 | 18.15 | 13,796 | 22.37 | 61,670 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 29.63 |  | 18.19 |  | 24.05 |  | 29.64 |  |  |
| Total A-level candidates | N | 95,223 | 40.54 | 46,546 | 19.82 | 46,546 | 19.82 | 46,545 | 19.82 | 234,860 | 100 |
|  | \% (of A-level candidates) |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This group of candidates was included in the report because since 2013/14 universities are not limited in the number of such candidates they are allowed to recruit. Therefore it is of interest to see what types of candidates are included in this group.

[^1]:    Note: For 231 candidates the school type is not available.

