

**DEVELOPMENT OF THE SYNDICATE'S
HIGHER EDUCATION
APTITUDE TESTS**

by

ALEC FISHER

April 1992

**Development of the Syndicate's
Higher Education Aptitude Tests.**

<u>CONTENTS</u>		Page
1.	<u>Background.</u>	1
1.1.	<u>The Law Studies Test.</u>	1
1.2.	<u>The Academic Aptitude Profile (AAP) and the Higher Education Aptitude Test (HEAT).</u>	1
1.3.	<u>The Assumptions Behind Aptitude Testing.</u>	2
1.4.	<u>How the AAP and HEAT differ from North American tests.</u>	3
2.	<u>Logical Reasoning.</u>	4
2.1.	<u>Origins.</u>	4
2.2.	<u>How Logical Reasoning is Conceived.</u>	4
2.21.	<u>Question types.</u>	4
2.3.	<u>Comment.</u>	5
2.31.	<u>Face Validity.</u>	5
2.32.	<u>Judging Reliability of Evidence.</u>	6
2.33.	<u>'Productive' Logical Reasoning Capacities.</u>	6
2.34.	<u>Dispositions.</u>	7
3.	<u>Mathematical Reasoning.</u>	8
3.1.	<u>Origin and Purpose.</u>	8
3.2.	<u>How Mathematical Reasoning is Conceived.</u>	8
3.21.	<u>Question types.</u>	9
3.22.	<u>The Current Position.</u>	9
3.3.	<u>Comment.</u>	10
3.31.	<u>Testing Aptitude or Knowledge?</u>	10
3.32.	<u>The Assumptions Behind Aptitude Testing.</u>	10
3.33.	<u>'Productive' versus 'Schema-driven' Thinking.</u>	11
3.34.	<u>General Conclusions.</u>	12
4.	<u>Logical Reasoning and Mathematical Reasoning: some Comparisons.</u>	13
5.	<u>Reading Comprehension and Communicative Skills.</u>	14
5.1.	<u>Origins.</u>	14
5.2.	<u>How Reading Comprehension is Conceived.</u>	15
5.21.	<u>Question Types.</u>	15
5.3.	<u>Comment</u>	16
5.4.	<u>How Communicative Skills are Conceived.</u>	17
5.5.	<u>Marking Criteria.</u>	19
5.6.	<u>Comment.</u>	20
6.	<u>Logical Reasoning, Reading Comprehension, and Communicative Skills: some Comparisons.</u>	21
7.	<u>A Strategy for Future Development.</u>	21
7.1.	<u>Face validity.</u>	21
7.2.	<u>Developing existing sections of the AAP.</u>	22
7.3.	<u>Extending the AAP.</u>	22
7.4.	<u>Producing Teaching Materials.</u>	23
7.5.	<u>Concluding comment.</u>	23
	<u>Bibliography.</u>	23

