

O Level

French

Session: 1984 June

Type: Report

Code: 3010

FRENCH

ORDINARY LEVEL

Subject 3010

Paper 3010/1

Examiners considered that the Question Paper was of average difficulty and discovered no evidence of dramatic improvement or deterioration in standards number of examiners did however express the feeling that the gradual improvement in essay writing continues.

Question 1. Translation from French. This was considered a fair test and discriminated well at all points of the ability range. It was possible to put the emphasis in marking on basic comprehension rather than on subtleties of expression in English. There were no insuperable lexical difficulties although 'd'un pas aleried did prove difficult to translate and was not penalised in the marking. Difficulties were also anticipated with the phrase 'il avait de l'argent plein les poches' but these did not materialise. Quite as many marks were lost by carelessness such as the omission of a translation of 'même' or 'déjà'.

The phrase 'assis au volant' caused problems to weaker candidates who saw a connection with 'voler' and whose translation included the idea of a stolen car Many candidates were content with 'he had lived' or even 'he lived' as a translation of 'il avait pu vivre', whereas the marking scheme insisted on some gesture toward the translation of 'pu'. Many candidates failed to render 'la vie lui souriait enformainly because of an apparent compulsion to begin 'the life . . .' which makes an acceptable translation impossible. Many weaker candidates confused 'ayant with 'ayant', translating 'ayant trouvé' as 'before finding', despite the past participle.

In the second section, the idea of 'quatre étoiles' referring to an hotel was generally understood; similarly, most candidates worked out the meaning of 'graftciel' from its constituent parts. But surprising difficulties were found with 'vestand 'usée', both surely well-worn 'faux amis'. Another one, 'une longue journée', also appeared and produced almost as many journeys as days. Also in the final section difficulties were found with 'il écarta les rideaux', 'trois hommes de haut stature' and finally 'Oui, et alors?' for which the examiner accepted only something meaningful in the context such as 'So what?' or 'What about it?' Most candidates were satisfied with 'And so?' which conveyed little in the circumstances. As a marking point this was the most difficult in the passage.

Question 2. Picture Essay. Examiners were generally happy with both the pictures and with the standard of work. Candidates, with the exception of a handful who failed to notice the vocabulary given in the pictures or who confused noun and adjective, concluding that 'vénéneux' meant mushrooms, the singular logically 'un vénéneu', appeared not only to cope with the subject but told it with drama or humour or both. Most candidates did make use of the help provided. The pictures were admirably clear. There were also many encouraging examples of correct use of Imperfects and Past Historics in a single sentence and later many candidates used the Pluperfect Tense in describing what the children had eaten

There was less obvious 'padding' than is frequently found, although there were examples of an obsessive interest in the children's ages. Also there are still candidates who treat each picture on its own, even to the extent of giving each picture a separate paragraph. This has the effect of producing a description of state

ather than writing a continuous story.

Octure one, few candidates made use of the verb 'garer' which had appeared ion I and far too many thought that the car had been left in a 'rue'. Indeed had clearly not looked at all the pictures before starting to write, for ishrooms were occasionally referred to as 'fleurs' and there was also some copying of the word 'champignons' given in Picture 5. The imperatives is a gave rise to considerable confusion in the use of singular and plural Surprisingly, the mother often said 'Ne mange pas' to her two children and always the latter used the 'vous' form to their mother.

the children to hospital. 'Rouler' was tried, though rarely with success, and re' appeared ad nauseam. Furthermore, most of attempts at saying that the looked ill, felt ill, or had stomach-ache were incorrect. It was unfortunate fire 6 that 'visiter' was acceptable for visiting an invalid in hospital. A correct of 'bananes' or 'une bofte de chocolats' was rare. It was noted how few — condidates can produce the correct concord of the Past Participle, ally after a P.D.O.

general impression though was that — notwithstanding the usual wide of standard — there was some excellent work at the upper end and that were encouraging signs at most levels.

estion 3. Guided essay. Of the three topics set, the letter (a) and the nar-(c) were far more popular than the dialogue (b). Perhaps the rather unusual r-matter in the dialogue contributed.

Most candidates would have previously written a composition roughly these lines and many were clearly using personal experience of a visit to the as a basis for their material. Some candidates failed to appreciate that on a casion the letter was to be addressed to the family and not simply to the son another, not that this was heavily penalised. In describing the homeward there was sometimes too much repetition of taking a certain form of port at a certain time. Similar repetition was frequently found with a series a aimé in listing experiences enjoyed, but perhaps this was difficult to avoid candidates produced appropriate and even elegant French, with accurate ences to local points of interest, but a large number of candidates were unable apply any of the monuments of Paris — la tour Eiffel, les champs Elysées, de Triomphe — with anything approaching accuracy.

The majority of candidates attempting this question were usually either good or very bad. Some clearly gave a great deal of thought and produced quite imaginative dialogues, with effective use of a variety of verbs in negainterrogative and imperative. At the other end of the scale were banal attents at the subject, with little effort to produce idiomatic French and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and often the scale with little effort to produce idiomatic french and little effort to produce idio

Most candidates preferred the innocent outcome to this situation i.e. the had forgotten his keys, though the use of the pluperfect was, as usual, elusive. Wever, the occasional burglar did provide some variety and generally speaking subject was handled effectively with many candidates taking the opportunity introduce some direct speech. Few candidates were able to cope with the aof bumping into a ladder and there was the usual crop of errors associated the interest dans' and 'téléphoner à'. Since the situation is open-ended, candidates come to involve the police and the police station should make sure they know to spell them. None the less, much very capable and interesting work was amined.

Question 4. Prose translation. Many examiners reported their impression that more candidates had taken this option than in previous years and all welcomed the trend. In co-ordination, it was agreed to adopt a pro-rata bonus system of marking the line with those awarded on 0.2. trend. In co-ordination, it was agreed in order to bring marks on Q.4 exactly into line with those awarded on Q.3. It was generally agreed that this had been successfully achieved.

There were few real difficulties either of vocabulary or grammar although 'platform', 'coach', 'inspector', 'compartment', and 'student' all caused some trouble. A surprising number of candidates failed to render some common expressions of time such as 'last Friday' ('le' was common), 'at the last minute' 'too late', and 'an hour and a half later'. There was much confusion between 'quitter', 'partir', and even 'laisser' in the phrases 'the train had just left Orléans' and 'the train was leaving' (tout court). A large number of candidates did not find 'chez ses parents' for 'at his parents' house'; 'à la maison de ses parents' was also accepted but many did not find this either. The question 'Where did you get on?' caused more difficulties than anticipated. Even 'without hesitating' claimed many victims though it sometimes appears that all candidates use 'sans hésiter' at least once in the essay questions.

Some examiners noted a tendency for Question 4 to attract candidates from both extremes of the ability range. It was indeed possible for really able candidates to score full marks on this question (as was also the case in Questions 2 and 3) Very weak candidates did manage to pick up a number of the easier points. However it would be regrettable if the candidates in the middle ranges of ability were to be under-represented in Question 4.

Paper 3010/4

A very fair paper in that the vocabulary and idiom of the passage were quite accessible. The story-line was well understood and the overall standard high Although some candidates still persisted in adding unnecessary detail, most gave concise answers. There was also very little use of the Past Historic.

Assistant examiners reported an improvement in general presentation but some found the widespread use of Tippex (and the like) very disturbing. The resulting script is often messy and illegible, and certainly does nothing to improve the presentation.

The change of procedure this year clearly helped the middle-of-the-road candidates who, finding the paper less of a memory test, performed much better.

Comments on answers:

- Q.1. Well answered; the majority of language errors were sur le métro/au m.1 en le m. Irrelevant information about Christiane's feelings or the weather were often added, or indeed given as the only answer.
- Q.2. 'Elle portait un parapluie' was not enough. It was necessary to say that 'she opened her umbrella'. Most managed the comprehension but amazingly few knew the past participle of 'ouvrir'.
- Q.3. Comprehension good but language errors rife, particularly the faulty agreement of the adjectives ('cheveux blonds', 'yeux bleus'). A great number heard 'blancs' for 'blonds', and there was the usual crop of 'chevaux'.
- Q.4. Mostly good. "Les boîtes aux lettres" when understood was often misspelt. When not understood, then some strange, made-up words appeared. Attempts to add 'la sienne' were equally disastrous (le ciel, la Seine). The handling of "elle s'est dirigée" was not good.

Well answered; the most common error was the failure to make the past iple agree, if a direct object pronoun was used.

Well done, comprehension wise. 'Entendre' was often found for 'écouter'. would use 's'allonger' correctly, although it was not needed in the answer. frequently confused with 'disques'. Some omitted any reference to music referred only to the carpet or thought that Anita was in the kitchen.

Many candidates had difficulty expressing that the music was loud ('lourde', Some answers were too general — just complaining about the noise for tasse i. A surprising number thought that the neighbours were complaining

cause they couldn't hear the music! 8. Most knew that she had lost her job but the failure to use the Pluperfect the most common error. "Une lettre est arrivée" occurred frequently, once showing how little known is the construction "arriver à" meaning "to happen", mough it is a hardy annual. The unnecessary addition of "des raisons économiques" led to language errors.

9. Comprehension good; the common errors were: inability to use the conthonal correctly: the overuse of Direct Speech: confusion over chercher/trouver. 0.10. Mostly good; as a longer answer was necessary ('elle avait gagné un prix' not enough), language errors were frequent, especially 'un/une vacance', spelling of fun prix', 'gagner', 'Etats-Unis'. The best answers were those restricted to the length of the trip, where and with whom. Those referring to the 'Dream Holiday' got into considerable difficulties.