

# Progression to post-16 education: the role of Vocational Qualifications

Conference Abstract



### **Author contact details:**

Carmen Vidal Rodeiro & Sylvia Vitello Assessment Research and Development Research Division Shaftesbury Road Cambridge CB2 8EA UK

carmen.vidalrodeiro@cambridge.org sylvia.vitello @cambridge.org https://www.cambridge.org/

As a department of the university, Cambridge University Press & Assessment is respected and trusted worldwide, managing three world-class examination boards, and maintaining the highest standards in educational assessment and learning. We are a not-for-profit organisation.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment is committed to making our documents accessible in accordance with the WCAG 2.1 Standard. We're always looking to improve the accessibility of our documents. If you find any problems or you think we're not meeting accessibility requirements, contact our team: Research Division If you need this document in a different format contact us telling us your name, email address and requirements and we will respond within 15 working days.

# How to cite this publication:

Vidal Rodeiro, C.L and Vitello, S. (2021, September 13 - 16). *Progression to post-16 education: the role of Vocational Qualifications*. [Paper presentation]. Annual conference of the British Educational Research Association, online.

## **Abstract**

Many studies have highlighted large increases in the uptake of secondary-level vocational qualifications (VQs) in England over recent years (Wolf, 2011; Cook, 2013; Richards, 2016). However, during the same period, these qualifications have faced criticism over their quality and capacity to prepare students for further education, as well as being scrutinised with regard to the types of students who take them (Wolf, 2011; Crawford, Meschi & Vignoles, 2011; Hodgson & Spours, 2014).

In 2010, the Department for Education (DfE) announced their latest drive to raise the status of vocational education. As part of this overhaul, the DfE set out a new set of criteria that all VQs needed to meet to be funded and included in school and college performance tables. The approval criteria changed the nature of the VQs on offer, affecting, for example, their content, assessment structure, grading and progression requirements. Regarding progression, for qualifications at Key Stage 4 (KS4) to fulfil the new criteria, post-16 providers now have to accept them alongside GCSEs as an integral and equally regarded part of a student's programme of study.

A great deal of the research carried out into the progression of students with VQs has focused on participation in higher education and has been carried out before the latest reforms to vocational education were completely implemented (Connor, Banerji & Sinclair, 2006; Hoelscher *et al.*, 2008; Hupkau *et al.*, 2016; McCoy & Adamson, 2016). The aim of the current research was, therefore, to obtain an up-to-date view of the progression from VQs to post-16 education. In particular, this research investigated whether students with different programmes of study and different VQs at KS4 were equally likely to progress to post-16 education and, if they progressed, what routes and qualifications they took.

This study analysed data from the National Pupil Database (NPD). The NPD contains, for each academic year, qualifications and attainment at the end of KS4 and Key Stage 5 (KS5) for all students in England, and students' characteristics such as gender, type of school attended, ethnicity or income-related deprivation. Our analyses focussed on the cohort of students who were at the end of KS4 in 2014/15 (610,810 students). KS5 extracts for 2016/17 were used to investigate these students' progression within education.

Descriptive statistics were produced to understand the progression rates of students with VQs and multilevel logistic regression models were fitted to control for demographic and educational characteristics (e.g., gender, prior attainment, income-related deprivation, ethnicity, type of school attended) associated with progression.

Analyses were conducted for individual qualifications (e.g., Cambridge Nationals, BTECs), DfE-approved categories of qualifications (Technical Awards) and programmes of study (e.g., academic only, mostly academic, mixed, mostly vocational and vocational only).

Some of the key findings from this research are summarised below:

- The overall progression rate for the KS4 cohort in this research was 82.4%.
  However, progression rates to post-16 study decreased as the percentage of VQs in
  the students' programmes of study increased. In particular, over 80% of the students
  with an academic or mostly academic background progressed to KS5. On the
  contrary, over 50% of the students with a vocational or a mostly vocational
  background did not progress.
- Students following programmes of study that included VQs were most likely to
  progress to mixed or vocational pathways. However, there was a reasonably high
  percentage of students who progressed to fully or mostly academic routes (14% to
  an only academic route and 20% to a mostly academic route). This shows that
  mostly vocational and vocational only pathways do not close students' options post16, as students were either able to continue in a vocational programme or to
  progress to different pathways.

- Progression rates from Technical Awards were only slightly lower than progression rates from GCSEs. This suggests that the approved VQs students take at KS4 support progression to further learning at school/college.
- The students with the lowest progression rates to post-16 education were those who, during KS4, took non-approved VQs.

When trying to make sense of the above progression rates, one should note that VQs can provide progression not only to post-16 education at school/college but also to apprenticeships, employment, training, and other educational destinations that might not be recorded in the NPD.

This research has suggested that, although mostly vocational and vocational only pathways do not close students' options, progression to KS5 was affected by the proportion of VQs that students took at KS4. More research is needed to find out the reasons behind this association, and the extent to which this may reflect experiences with VQs at KS4.

### References

- Connor, H., Banerji, N., & Sinclair, E. (2006). *Progressing to higher education: vocational qualifications and admissions*. Ormskirk: Action on Access.
- Cook, W. (2013). *Vocational Education in English Schools: Protecting Options for Pre-16 Pupils*. UK: Institute for Public Policy Research.
- Crawford, C., Meschi, E., & Vignoles, A. (2011). *Post-16 Educational Choices And Institutional Value Added At Key Stage 5*. UK: Centre for the Economics of Education.
- Hodgson, A., & Spours, K. (2014). Middle attainers and 14–19 progression in England: half-served by New Labour and now overlooked by the Coalition? *British educational research journal*, 40(3), 467-482.
- Hoelscher, M., Hayward, G., Ertl, H., & Dunbar-Goddet, H. (2008). The transition from vocational education and training to higher education: a successful pathway? . *Research Papers in Education*, 23(2), 139-151.
- Hupkau, C., McNally, S., Ruiz-Valenzuela, J., & Ventura, G. (2016). Post-compulsory education in England: choices and implications. *National Institute Economic Review,* 240(1), R42-R57.
- McCoy, T., & Adamson, D. (2016). Building a house on sand? Are vocational education and training qualifications an (in)equitable stepping-stone to success in higher education? In G. Steventon, D. Cureton, & L. Clouder (Eds.), Student Attainment in Higher Education (pp. 161-173). Abingdon: Routledge.
- Richards, B. (2016). Passports to Progress: How do vocational qualifications help young people in building their careers? Part One. UK: The Social Market Foundation.
- Wolf, A. (2011). *Review of vocational education: the Wolf report*. UK: Department for Education.

# **Full paper**

Vidal Rodeiro, C.L. and Vitello, S. (2021). Progression to Post-16 Education in England: the Role of Vocational Qualifications. *Research Papers in Education* (ahead of print). Available here: https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2021.1961295