

Does removing tiering from high-stakes examinations reduce the size of attainment gaps?

Conference abstract



Author contact details:

Matthew Carroll Assessment Research and Development, Research Division Shaftesbury Road Cambridge CB2 8EA UK

matthew.carroll@cambridge.org https://www.cambridge.org/

As a department of the university, Cambridge University Press & Assessment is respected and trusted worldwide, managing three world-class examination boards, and maintaining the highest standards in educational assessment and learning. We are a not-for-profit organisation.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment is committed to making our documents accessible in accordance with the WCAG 2.1 Standard. We're always looking to improve the accessibility of our documents. If you find any problems or you think we're not meeting accessibility requirements, contact our team: Research Division If you need this document in a different format contact us telling us your name, email address and requirements and we will respond within 15 working days.

How to cite this publication:

Carroll, M. (2021, November 3-5). *Does removing tiering from high-stakes examinations reduce the size of attainment gaps?* Annual conference of the Association for Educational Assessment – Europe (AEA-Europe), Dublin, Republic of Ireland (online).

Abstract

In England, Wales and Northern Ireland, the General Certificate of Secondary Education (GCSE) was introduced in the 1980s to replace separate gualifications for higher- and lowerattaining students. To allow all students to access GCSEs, many subjects had tiered examinations: lower-attaining students took foundation papers, targeting lower grades, and higher-attaining students took higher papers, targeting higher grades. However, tiering was criticised for limiting attainment and aspiration of foundation tier students. Moreover, some argued that "capping" disproportionately affected certain demographic groups, thus exacerbating attainment gaps. Accordingly, in reforms in the 2010s, tiering was removed from most subjects. This provides an opportunity to examine the effect of tiering on attainment gaps. Here, attainment gaps between age, sex and socioeconomic deprivation groups were calculated for subjects where tiering was removed or retained, or which were never tiered, for years before and after reform. Against expectations, removal of tiering was not associated with reduced attainment gaps; indeed, gaps often increased, in contrast to subjects that retained tiering. These effects cannot be definitively attributed to tiering, but results indicate that impacts of tiering removal may be more complex than anticipated, and further research may be required to understand responses of schools and students to untiered examinations.