

Predictive Validity of Digital Mock Assessments

Conference Abstract

Carmen Vidal Rodeiro

Tim Gill

AEA-Europe conference, 5–8 November 2025

Author contact details:

Carmen Vidal Rodeiro
Research Division
Shaftesbury Road
Cambridge
CB2 8EA
UK

carmen.vidalrodeiro@cambridge.org
<https://www.cambridge.org/>

As a department of the university, Cambridge University Press & Assessment is respected and trusted worldwide, managing three world-class examination boards, and maintaining the highest standards in educational assessment and learning. We are a not-for-profit organisation.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment is committed to making our documents accessible in accordance with the WCAG 2.1 Standard. We're always looking to improve the accessibility of our documents. If you find any problems or you think we're not meeting accessibility requirements, contact our team: [Research Division](#)
If you need this document in a different format [contact us](#) telling us your name, email address and requirements and we will respond within 15 working days.

How to cite this publication:

Vidal Rodeiro, C.L., & Gill, T. (2025, November 5–8). *Predictive Validity of Digital Mock Assessments* [Paper presentation]. AEA-Europe 2025, The Hague.
<https://2025aea-europe.net/>

Abstract

Mock exams are low-stakes assessments designed to mimic the format, content, and conditions of the examinations students are preparing for and can have a big impact on how teaching and learning are approached in the classrooms. In particular, they can help teachers know the strengths and weaknesses of their students and identify areas of content which need greater emphasis or clarity. Mock exams can also inform teachers of how students might do in live examinations.

In the context of transitioning from paper-based to digital assessments, Cambridge University Press & Assessment offers a Digital Mocks Service for schools in England and around the world. Using data from assessments delivered via this service (in qualifications such as Computer Science, English Language, Psychology), we investigated how well performance in digital mocks predicts performance in live exams. The digital mocks were based on exams delivered on paper in previous live sessions or on practice/sample papers.

The research findings show high correlations between mock and live assessments, indicating good levels of predictive validity in most qualifications. The predictive validity of mock exams is important as it helps to validate their use as a diagnostic tool that can have a positive impact on learning.